

FY2024 CoC Competition Guide

Ohio Balance of State Continuum of Care

April 2024



OHIO

Balance of State
Continuum of Care

Table of Contents

Background and Introduction 3

Target Audience..... 3

Purpose of this Document..... 3

Goals and Priorities for the Ohio BoSCoC CoC Competition 3

Reallocation Policy and Process..... 4

Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Process..... 5

Renewal CoC Project Ranking 9

Appealing Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Results or Preliminary Ranking 9

Request for Proposals for New Projects 10

Renewal CoC Project Application Submission Process 12

Final Submission of the Ohio BoSCoC Consolidated CoC Application 12

Appendix A- 2024 Renewal CoC Projects Listing.....

Appendix B- 2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure.....

Appendix C- HMIS Data Quality Information

Appendix D- Implementing Best Practices.....

Appendix E- Homeless History Index

Appendix F- CoC Compliance Review Tool.....

Appendix G – HF, CH, CoC Compliance Evaluation List.....

Appendix H - CoC Client File Review Tool

Background and Introduction

Organization of the Ohio Balance of State Continuum of Care

The Ohio Balance of State Continuum of Care (BoSCoC) is comprised of the 80 rural counties in Ohio and represents diverse populations, needs, and capabilities. The 80 counties within the Ohio BoSCoC are further organized into 17 Homeless Planning Regions that engage in collaborative planning around homeless programming. A map of the Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Planning Regions can be found [here](#).

The Ohio BoSCoC Board, which is comprised in part of one representative from each BoSCoC Homeless Planning Region, guides the policy and planning direction of the continuum. The CoC Board has designated the Ohio Department of Development (ODOD), as the Collaborative Applicant for the Ohio BoSCoC. In this role, ODOD is responsible for submitting the annual consolidated application for CoC Program funding on behalf of the Ohio BoSCoC. In turn, ODOD has contracted with the Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio (COHHIO) to provide primary staff support to the Ohio BoSCoC.

HUD's Continuum of Care Program and the Annual Continuum of Care Competition

Every year, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes available federal resources for homeless programming to communities around the country through its Continuum of Care (CoC) Program and its annual CoC Competition. Continuums access these funds by completing consolidated applications on behalf of the federally funded homeless programs in their CoC. For the Ohio BoSCoC, the Collaborative Applicant (ODOD) and COHHIO facilitate this process and submit the consolidated application. Any organization located within the 80 counties of the Ohio BoSCoC that wishes to access new or renewal CoC Program funds must participate in local homeless planning efforts and the annual BoSCoC CoC Competition to do so.

The Ohio BoSCoC receives over \$20 million annually for new and renewing homeless programs, representing over 100 transitional and permanent housing programs across 80 counties.

Target Audience

The 2024 CoC competition plan and timeline is only applicable to HUD CoC-funded projects renewing their CoC project funding in 2024, or those organizations interested in applying for funding for a new CoC-funded project.

Purpose of this Document

This document is intended to provide Ohio BoSCoC members with basic information about the Ohio BoSCoC 2024 CoC Competition, including the following:

- Priorities for new and renewal projects
- Key CoC Program policies for the Ohio BoSCoC
- The renewal project evaluation process and timeline
- The process for completion of the 2024 Ohio BoSCoC consolidated application
- Preliminary priorities for ranking renewal and new CoC projects in the consolidated application

Goals and Priorities for the Ohio BoSCoC CoC Competition

The Ohio BoSCoC Board has identified the following funding priorities for the 2024 Ohio BoSCoC Competition:

- Submit a consolidated application that meets threshold and maximizes available funding
- Fund projects that meet community needs
 - Including project conversions and new PH projects
- Fund projects that are cost effective and maximize program and mainstream resources
- Fund projects that successfully end homelessness
- Promote the use of best practices

In addition, the CoC Board has identified the following goal and priorities to guide final ranking of new and renewal projects in the 2024 CoC Consolidated application:

- **Project Ranking Goal:** To rank Ohio BoSCoC new and renewal projects in a way that helps us continue to meet local homeless needs, while also helping the CoC maximize CoC Program funds and ensure ongoing national competitiveness
 - To that end, the following priorities, in no particular order, will help guide development of a final ranking approach:
 - The CoC may seek to preserve low-ranking projects at risk of losing funding where those projects represent the only CoC Program funding in their communities, with a priority for PH project types
 - The CoC may prioritize projects that have demonstrated the use of Housing First practices
 - The CoC may consider reducing funding requests for the lowest ranked projects as a means to preserve funding for higher ranked projects, if needed, and keeping in line with other priorities
 - The CoC may consider ranking new projects higher than some renewal projects, where the CoC believes doing so will better help the CoC meet the ranking goal outlined above
 - The CoC may consider unresolved HUD monitoring findings when making final ranking decisions for low scoring projects

Reallocation Policy and Process

The Ohio BoSCoC uses two different processes for reallocating CoC Program funding from renewal projects to new CoC projects – a voluntary reallocation process and involuntary reallocation process.

Voluntary Reallocation

Any renewal CoC project may voluntarily give up their grant funding, in part or in whole, to have it reallocated to a new eligible/allowable project. If the agency seeking to go through a voluntary reallocation would like to retain the CoC Program funds for a new project of another type (sometimes referred to as a project conversion), that agency will need to submit a new project application per the process outlined later in this document.

Otherwise, any new projects funded by dollars coming from a voluntary reallocation will be determined by the CoC's regular new project scoring and ranking process.

CoC grantees interested in voluntarily reallocating their funds should communicate their plans to the CoC team by May 16, 2024.

Involuntary Reallocation

The Ohio BoSCoC may involuntarily reallocate CoC Program funding from renewal projects if significant performance or CoC program compliance issues are identified in the project evaluation process.

Project Evaluation Scoring Threshold

Renewing CoC projects that have a final project evaluation score that is less than 70% of the total project evaluation points available in the 2024 project evaluation process (see appendix for project evaluation scoring details) are not automatically eligible to renew their projects and are at risk of having their funding involuntarily reallocated. Those projects not meeting the scoring threshold who would like to renew their funding will be required to develop and submit to the CoC team an Improvement Action Plan (IAP).

Following release of final project evaluation scores, CoC staff will send communications to CoC project grantees falling under the 70% scoring threshold and at risk of involuntary funding reallocation that outlines the requirements of IAP submission.

Improvement Action Plan

The IAP submitted by projects not meeting the project evaluation score threshold should include the following:

- The intention of the grantee to voluntarily reallocate their funding, or attempt to renew funding
- If seeking to renew funding, the grantee must provide the following information:

- Reason for low project evaluation score
- Action plan and timeline to address the performance or compliance issues that led to the low score
- IAPs must be submitted by the deadline identified in the CoC Competition Timeline

Reallocation Determinations

Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee and CoC staff will review IAPs and make *preliminary* reallocation recommendations to the CoC Board. The Steering Committee's review and determination will include, but is not limited to, impact of project loss or retention on the CoC and local communities, past performance, past monitoring or TA issues, capacity for change. The review will also take into consideration the project ranking goal and priorities. Preliminary reallocation decisions will be communicated in writing to impacted grantees by the date identified in the CoC Competition Timeline.

When making preliminary reallocation recommendations, the Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee will identify projects that are permitted to submit conditional renewal applications. This means the renewing grantee's IAP has been sufficient for the CoC to potentially allow them to renew funding, pending the total availability of funding for all new and renewal projects as announced by the release of the annual CoC Competition and NOFO. Final decisions and communications re: which low scoring renewal projects will be ranked in the CoC's Project Listing will be shared after the CoC Competition opens.

As needed, Ohio BoSCoC staff may target low scoring renewal projects for CoC Program compliance monitoring or technical assistance after the CoC Competition to help them further identify and address areas needing improvement.

Projects receiving at least 70% of total project evaluation points available are permitted to automatically renew CoC project funding.

Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Process

The Ohio BoSCoC Project Evaluation Workgroup develops the annual renewal CoC project evaluation process and preliminary project ranking approach. The evaluation process and related project ranking helps the Ohio BoSCoC fully maximize CoC Program funds, make informed funding decisions, and continue to move the CoC toward our goal of ending homelessness.

A complete list of projects eligible for renewal in the FY2024 CoC Competition can be found in Appendix A. Details about the project evaluation specifications and points structure can be found in Appendix B.

You can find more detailed information about selected project evaluation items in the following sections.

CoC Competition Project Evaluation Data in Rme

HMIS is the primary data source for the project evaluation process for all renewing CoC projects (except for non-HMIS participating providers, such as victim services agencies). Detailed project-level performance on each of the project evaluation items for which HMIS is the data source is displayed in the CoC Competition Renewal Project Evaluation tab in [Rme](#). The project evaluation items include project performance based on most recent entry/exits during the reporting period. If a client had multiple entries/exits in a project, the most recent interaction with the project would be reflected in the project evaluation data.

Serving Households with Most Severe Needs and Longest Homeless Histories

The Ohio BoSCoC reviews the HMIS-generated Homeless History Index report and long-term homeless PSH project entries to evaluate project performance in serving those with the greatest needs and longest homeless histories. The Homeless History Index report produces a median score factored on the number of times homeless, number of months homeless, and approximate date homelessness started for clients who enter the system. All project types will be evaluated based on the Homeless History Index data. Victim service providers that are prohibited from entering data into HMIS will not be evaluated on this item and will receive full points

The CoC reviews HMIS data about long-term homeless household entries into PSH projects to help evaluate PSH projects' adherence to the PSH Order of Priority. Long-term homeless means that the person/household has a total duration of homelessness that would meet the chronic homeless definition.

HMIS Data Quality

Since HMIS data supplies all CoC project performance data for the project evaluation process, it is critical that all projects maintain quality HMIS data. The Ohio BoSCoC HMIS Data Quality Standards state that HMIS-participating providers should have 0% missing data for most data elements. **For purposes of this project evaluation process, renewing CoC projects must not have more than 2% missing data for any evaluated item, as described below. Projects with more than 2% missing data rates on an evaluated item will be considered to have failed to meet the goal and will receive zero points on that particular scored item.** For example, Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects can receive 8 points if at least 85% of their project leavers in the reporting period received one or more sources of non-cash benefits or health insurance. If the *Project Evaluation* data shows a CoC PSH project with an 85% rate of leavers receiving non-cash benefits and health insurance, but their missing data rate for that item was 4%, then the PSH project will receive 0 points for the evaluated item because their data quality was too poor (i.e., their missing data rate was too high) to evaluate performance on the scored item.

Each CoC-funded project can have any of four Data Quality flags that can affect their scoring. A flag will affect scoring if the number of issues for each flag is over 2% of that project's data.

Data Quality Flag	Data Quality Issues	What Measures Flag Applies To
General	Duplicate Entry Exits, Missing HoH, Missing Relationship to HoH, Too Many HoHs, Children Only Households, or Incorrect Entry Exit Type	All Measures that come from HMIS (except the Data Quality measure)
Benefits	Non-cash Benefits Missing at Entry, Conflicting Non-Cash Benefits yes/no	Health Insurance & Non-Cash Benefits
Income	Income Missing at Entry, Conflicting Income yes/no	Increase Income, No Income at Entry
Length of Time Homeless	Missing Residence Prior, Missing Months or Times Homeless, Incomplete Living Situation Data	Homeless History Index, Long Term Homeless

It is critical that CoC project recipients evaluate and correct their HMIS data well in advance of the release of the project evaluation data in Rme. Recipients can review their project performance and HMIS data quality via Rme on an ongoing basis. Please email hmis@cohhio.org for any needed assistance.

Special Considerations

When a project evaluation item is based only on those who exited or entered the project, projects with 1 or no leavers or entries (i.e., no one exited or entered the project during the reporting period) will be considered to have met the goal and receive full points for the particular evaluation item. In cases where a participant dies during their program stay, that 'deceased' exit will be excluded from any evaluation item that is based on leavers.

Newly operating projects, including grant transfers, that have less than 9 months of client-level data will not be scored as part of this evaluation process and will be ranked within Tier 1.

Projects funded by the Round 3 Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program (YHDP) or later rounds will be ranked within Tier 1.

Non-HMIS Participating Providers' Project Evaluation

CoC staff review *Annual Performance Report (APR)* data for the reporting period to evaluate project performance for non-HMIS participating CoC projects. If an APR for that period has not already been submitted, then the non-HMIS participating provider must submit an APR by 5/16/24 to CoC staff at ohioboscoc@cohhio.org.

Non-HMIS participating projects are not evaluated on the HMIS data quality item and automatically receive the maximum points for that evaluation item.

A list of all the non-HMIS participating providers renewing CoC projects in 2024 can be found in Appendix A.

Housing First Practices and Chronic Homelessness Prioritization

In 2024, only CoC grantees scoring below a certain threshold in the FY2023 project evaluation process will be required to resubmit their project's Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to show evidence of Housing First practices and Chronic Homelessness Prioritization. For the Housing First evaluation item, any project that scored 11 points or less during the FY23 evaluation must resubmit their SOPs for evidence of Housing First practices. Any renewing PSH projects that scored 7 points or less during the FY23 Chronic Homelessness Prioritization evaluation process will also be required to resubmit the most updated SOPs.

If required to resubmit, the CoC will review and score the projects' most up to date SOPs on use of Housing First practices and, for PSH projects only, for evidence of procedures that support prioritization of chronically homeless.

Please note, those organizations required to submit SOPs who fail to do so will have 10 to 15 points deducted from the overall project evaluation score.

CoC Program Compliance

In 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will re-evaluate CoC projects that scored lower than 18 out of 25 points (72%) for CoC Program Compliance during last year's project evaluation. CoC staff will re-evaluate compliance by reviewing the most up to date SOPs against key sections of the CoC Program Rule. Details about the sections of the CoC Program Rule that projects will be evaluated on, as well as a detailed scoring tool, can be found in the appendix.

Please note, grantees who scored lower than 18 points during last year's CoC Compliance evaluation who fail to submit their policies and procedures for review in 2024 will have 25 points deducted from their project evaluation score for CoC Compliance. This point deduction is on top of the 15-point deduction that also applies if a project grantee fails to submit policies and procedures for evaluation on Housing First compliance and chronic homeless prioritization.

CoC projects that scored at least 18 out of 25 points for CoC Program Compliance last year are not required to submit SOPs in 2024. Projects are permitted to re-submit updated SOPs in order to increase their overall CoC Program Compliance score, but are not required to do so.

Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity

In 2024, the CoC will evaluate all project types on how the grantees analyzed and addressed racial disparities. Grantees must provide proof of analysis through the submission of narrative responses showing how data and non-data sources were used to analyze racial disparities that exist within the projects, as well as details on how the project will take action steps to address identified disparities. The CoC has made a sample analysis template with detailed instructions available as an option to aid in this analysis. Please note that the provided assessment tool is not required, and grantees are welcome to conduct their own analyses. Grantees must submit the following to demonstrate compliance with analyzing and addressing racial disparities:

- Narrative response describing the analysis to identify any disparities in the provision and outcomes of services as compared to the racial/ethnic make-up of those experiencing homelessness and the action plan developed. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:
 - 1) The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data shows.
 - a. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1.
 - 2) Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.
 - 3) What, if any, disparities were identified in enrollments, outcomes, or barriers to entry.

- 4) If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps.
- 5) Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.

Coordinated Entry Compliance

The Ohio BoSCoC evaluates PSH projects on compliance with the Coordinated Entry prioritization process, namely the use of Prioritization Workgroups to make prioritization decisions for PSH openings. Grantees must submit the following to demonstrate compliance with CE prioritization processes:

1. Brief narrative statement describing how the Prioritization Workgroup makes prioritization decisions and how frequently the workgroup meets
2. Grantees must submit *one* of the following as evidence of compliance with the Ohio BoSCoC CE prioritization process:
 - Meeting notes from a Prioritization Workgroup meeting that occurred within 2023 (ensure no client PII is in the meeting notes)
 - Email communication or other documented communication between providers that documents reliance on a Prioritization Workgroup meeting for PSH prioritization decisions (documentation must be dated in 2023)
 - A written statement from Prioritization Workgroup members confirming that Prioritization Workgroup meetings occur, the frequency of the meetings, and the date of the most recent 2023 Prioritization Workgroup meeting

Submission of APRs

The Ohio BoSCoC evaluates all projects on the timely submission on Annual Performance Reports (APRs). For any CoC project with overdue or rejected APRs since 2019, 10 points will be deducted for each overdue or rejected APR. This could result in up to 50 total points being deducted if multiple APRs are overdue or rejected.

NEW - Incorporating Feedback from People with Lived Experience

New in 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will evaluate CoC projects on how they are incorporating feedback from people with lived experience of homelessness into project design. To be evaluated on this item, CoC projects must submit a sample (blank) consumer feedback tool and a completed consumer feedback tool with any personally identifying information (PII) redacted. The completed tool can be from anytime between 1/1/23 – 12/31/23. If no consumer feedback tool is available for review, projects may submit documented evidence of a Persons with Lived Experience (PLE) advisory group that had a meeting within CY2023.

NEW - CoC Grant Expenditures

In 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will evaluate projects on CoC program grant expenditures for FY 2021 (operating term 2022 to 2023) and FY20 (operating term 2021 to 2022). Grantees who did not expend 95% or more of funds for FY21 will have between 5-20 points deducted from their overall project evaluation score depending on the percentage of unspent funds. Additionally, grantees who did not expend 80% or more of FY20 grant funds will have 10 points deducted from their total score.

NEW - Client File Review

New in 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will evaluate project client file documentation for eligibility and compliance with the CoC Program Interim Rule. All CoC grantees will be required to submit client file documentation for two clients who were enrolled in the program between 1/1/2022 – 12/31/23. In order to protect client confidentiality, all HMIS participating projects should submit documentation via the “Files” tab in Clarity. All non-HMIS participating projects will be provided with a secure dropbox link to upload documentation. The documentation required to be submitted will depend on the project type being evaluated. Please see Appendix B for more information.

NEW - Renewal YHDP Project Evaluation

Also new in 2024, YHDP projects funded in Round 1 will be competitively evaluated. Renewing Round 1 YHDP projects will be evaluated based on the youth-dedicated project measures detailed in the Ohio BoSCoC Performance Management Plan. Please see Appendix B to review what will be evaluated based on the project type.

Checklist of Documents that Providers Must Submit for Project Evaluation

Due Date	Document
5/16/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renewal Project Applicants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Non-HMIS Participating Applicants submit APRs for CY2023 reporting period ○ Project Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All projects who scored 11 points or less during the FY23 Housing First evaluation process ▪ All projects who scored 7 points or less during the FY23 Chronic Homelessness evaluation process ▪ All projects who scored 17 points or less during the FY23 CoC Program Compliance evaluation process ▪ Any projects renewing for the first time ○ Additional documents that will be evaluated for Housing First evidence and chronic homeless prioritization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Client responsibility documents, rules, participant handbook, etc. ▪ Blank individualized service planning documents ▪ Blank rental/occupancy/lease agreements (or sub-occupancy/sub-lease agreements) ▪ Blank Project intake and/or assessment forms ▪ Blank Homeless verification forms ▪ Blank Disability verification forms ○ CE Compliance documentation (all PSH projects) ○ Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity narratives (all projects) ○ Client file documentation for 2 clients (all projects) ○ Evidence of PLE Feedback (all projects)

Renewal CoC Project Ranking

After completing all project evaluations, CoC staff will preliminarily rank all renewal projects according to their evaluation score – e.g., projects with higher scores will be ranked higher in the project listing. However, the CoC Board will not make final ranking or funding recommendations until HUD releases the FY2024 CoC Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The CoC NOFO will include details about the total CoC funds available for renewal and new projects as well as HUD’s priorities for ranking and funding projects.

Appealing Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Results or Preliminary Ranking

Renewal CoC project recipients may submit appeals of final project evaluation results and/or CoC project ranking. Appeals must be submitted according to the timeline identified below. Late appeals will not be considered.

Submitted appeals must clearly indicate exactly what is being appealed (project evaluation results and/or ranking decisions), must clearly explain the reason for the appeal, and include any relevant documentation.

The Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee will review all accepted appeals and communicate decisions regarding those appeals. Steering Committee decisions are FINAL.

Request for Letters of Interest for a CE Project Application

In 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC Board has approved the CoC to submit a new project application to support Coordinated Entry (CE) work. The Ohio BoSCoC Board designated COHHIO, as the CE Management Entity, to serve as the lead applicant on the project application. COHHIO, in turn, is requesting Letters of Interest (LOIs) from eligible CE Access Point (CE AP) agencies to be included in the project application and potential sub-recipients. Read the full *Request for Letters of Interest: FY2024 CE Project Application* for more details.

Eligible applicants are limited to current, non-profit CE Access Points.

Letters of Interest Submission

Letters of Interest should be submitted to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org using the provided LOI form. Letters of Interest are due June 5, 2024.

Request for Proposals for New Projects

In FY2024, the Ohio BoSCoC is seeking new project proposals, via the following opportunities (pending CoC Program funding availability):

- Renewal TH projects voluntarily reallocating funding to create new RRH or PSH
- New projects that leverage partnerships with local housing authorities or healthcare providers
- New DV Bonus projects
- New RRH, TH, Joint TH-RRH, and PSH projects

More details about each of these opportunities are below. The number of new housing projects included in the FY2024 CoC project listing will depend largely on the size of the funding request of the CE project application, which is the CoC's priority new project application.

Voluntary Reallocation Opportunity

Current Transitional Housing (TH) project grantees have the opportunity to voluntarily reallocate their current renewal project funding for purposes of creating a new RRH or PSH project type (previously called a project conversion opportunity).

Applicants should strive to ensure that their proposed new project will serve at least as many households annually as their current CoC project.

Applicants seeking new project funding via a voluntary reallocation are permitted to request more in new project funding than their reallocated project had been awarded. However, new projects created through voluntary reallocation that request funding closer to the amount of reallocated grant, may be more likely to be ranked more competitively.

Please note, new projects created through voluntary reallocation should be treated as wholly new projects. They typically cannot serve clients of the reallocated renewal projects, and there will likely be a gap between the end of the reallocated project's grant term and that of the newly funded project.

Leveraging PHA and Healthcare Provider Partnerships Opportunity

New project applicants that demonstrate strong partnerships with local Public Housing Authorities (PHA) and/or healthcare providers (including behavioral healthcare agencies) are eligible to submit proposals for new RRH, Joint TH-RRH, or PSH projects.

Examples of projects involving strong partnerships with PHAs may include:

- PSH project application where local PHA commits rental assistance or project-based units for all PSH units and nonprofit service provider commits to provision of supportive services to participants. Application could include a funding request for supportive services, for example
- PSH project application where nonprofit service provider and local PHA have entered into formal agreement to implement a moving-on strategy with PSH participants who no longer need intensive

services but need access to affordable housing. As part of the moving on strategy, the PHA prioritizes the participants who are ready to exit the PSH project for its available housing options

Examples of projects involving strong partnerships with healthcare providers may include:

- PSH project application where a local behavioral healthcare organization partners with a non-profit housing provider. In this partnership, the housing provider manages the PSH units/site, and the behavioral healthcare organization partner provides supportive services to clients in those units. Application could include a funding request for rental assistance, for example

DV Bonus Projects Opportunity

The Ohio BoSCoC is seeking DV Bonus project proposals for new RRH or Joint TH-RRH project types. Applicants seeking funding through the DV Bonus Project opportunity must commit to serving survivors of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and must commit to using policies and practices that are trauma-informed and client-centered. Applicants do not necessarily need to be victim service provider, but must have demonstrated experience working with survivors.

General New Projects Opportunity

Eligible non-profit agencies interested in submitting a new project proposal that does not align with one of the previously described opportunities may submit proposals for new project types including RRH, TH, Joint TH-RRH, and PSH. However, proposals that do not align with one of the previously described opportunities will not be as competitive.

Geographic Priorities

New project proposals serving Ohio BoSCoC regions most in need (in part or whole), as identified below, may be more competitive. However, applicants may propose to serve any geography in the Ohio BoSCoC.

- Homeless Planning Region 14
- Homeless Planning Region 5
- Homeless Planning Region 9
- Homeless Planning Region 4
- Homeless Planning Region 15
- Homeless Planning Region 11
- Homeless Planning Region 3

New Project Proposal Submission

New project proposals should be submitted to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org using the provided New Project Proposal form. Proposals are due June 5, 2024.

Scoring and Ranking of New CoC Project Proposals

New Project Proposals will be reviewed and scored. Once all New Project Proposals, the CE project application, and renewal CoC projects are reviewed they will be ranked together according to their scores and the CoC's ranking priorities.

Additional Considerations, HUD Priorities, and Funding Availability for New Projects

At this point, we do not yet know if any new funds will be available for new CoC projects. Funding availability for new projects will be shared once the HUD CoC Program NOFO is released and funding availability is announced. New project application selection and ranking will be shared after the NOFO is released.

New Grantee Required Training

Agencies successfully awarded funding for a new CoC project will be required to engage in CoC Program training with CoC staff before beginning program operations. Additionally, new CoC project grantees must provide written Policies and Procedures, program forms, and any other program documentation to the CoC team for review prior to serving clients. If the CoC team identifies any issues with CoC Program compliance, the grantee must address those issues prior to serving clients.

Renewal CoC Project Application Submission Process

Renewal Project Applications

Organizations seeking to renew CoC Program funding must follow the Ohio BoSCoC process. This document will be updated to reflect the process for renewal projects once HUD opens the FY2024 CoC Competition. Additional training and technical assistance documents will be provided at that time as well.

Final Submission of the Ohio BoSCoC Consolidated CoC Application

After all renewal projects and new project applications have been received, reviewed, and ranked, the Ohio BoSCoC Collaborative Applicant (ODOD with assistance from COHHIO) will prepare the CoC Project Ranking list on behalf of the Ohio BoSCoC. Once the Ohio BoSCoC Board has approved the listing, ODOD will electronically submit the project applications and the Ohio BoSCoC Consolidated CoC Application via e-snaps to HUD.

Any questions about the Ohio BoSCoC FY2024 CoC Competition Guide can be directed to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org.

Ohio BoSCoC 2024 CoC Competition Timeline

Following is the timeline for the 2024 CoC Competition as of April 15, 2024. This timeline will be updated once HUD opens the FY2024 CoC Competition.

DATE COMPLETE	ACTIVITY
4/22/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ohio BoSCoC: 2024 CoC Competition Training
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ohio BoSCoC Grant Inventory Worksheet finalized (ODOD/COHHIO to complete)
4/22/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CoC Project Evaluation Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project Evaluation Data available in R minor elevated (Rme)
4/22/24 – 5/21/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CoC Project Evaluation Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recipients correct HMIS data as needed Corrections must be finalized by 11:59pm on 5/21/24
5/6/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSO-CE Project Application Office Hours <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 5/6/24 at 2pm https://us06web.zoom.us/j/81238993887
5/16/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CoC Project Evaluation Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The following documents are due to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-HMIS Projects submit APRs Project SOPs for those required to submit or re-submit Additional documents that will be evaluated for Housing First evidence and chronic homeless prioritization for those required to submit or re-submit Client file documentation for two clients Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity narratives CE Compliance - Evidence of PH Prioritization meetings Evidence of PLE Feedback

5/22/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CoC Project Evaluation Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Final <i>Project Evaluation Data</i> available in Rme
6/5/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Project Proposals and LOIs for the SSO-CE project application are due to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org
6/19/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CoC Project Evaluation Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ COHHIO releases preliminary CoC project ranking (renewals only) ○ Projects not meeting 70% score threshold are notified
6/28/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CoC Project Evaluation Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If needed, recipients submit appeals of project evaluation results and ranking to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org
7/8/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee • communicates decisions re: appeals
7/15/24	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvement Action Plans (IAPs) submitted to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org for those projects not meeting the 70% score threshold
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final CoC project ranking released <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Includes renewal CoC projects only
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FY2024 CoC Competition Opens
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Applications Available in e-snaps
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ohio BoSCoC CoC Application Training
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renewal & Project Applications Due in e-snaps
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Project Proposals for new project opportunities not already addressed due to CoC team
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee Review of all Applications & Notification of Needed Corrections
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corrections by Applicants to Project Applications Due
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approved New Project Applications due in e-snaps
TBD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Final Ohio BoSCoC Project Applications Submitted to HUD via e-snaps (ODOD/COHHIO to complete)

APPENDIX A: FY2024 Renewal CoC Projects

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
Alcohol, Drug Addiction & Mental Health Services Board of Tuscarawas and Carroll Counties	Tuscarawas County TRA	PH-PSH	
Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Allen Shelter Plus Care Vouchers	PH-PSH	
Appleseed Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Appleseed RRH	PH-RRH	
Ashtabula County Mental Health and Recovery Services Board	Ashtabula Shelter Plus Care Vouchers for homeless persons with mental illness	PH-PSH	
Ashtabula County Mental Health and Recovery Services Board	Ashtabula CoC PSH Vouchers	PH-PSH	Y
Butler County	PSH Butler County	PH-PSH	
Butler County	Butler County PSH Expansion	PH-PSH	
City of Marietta, Ohio/PHA	Marietta/Washington Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
City of Springfield, Ohio	Springfield Shelter Plus Care (combined)	PH-PSH	
Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio	Homeless Management Information System	HMIS	Y
Coleman Professional Services	Coleman PSH	PH-PSH	
Coleman Professional Services	Jefferson County Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Columbiana County Mental Health Clinic dba The Counseling Center	Permanent Housing for Persons with Disabilities	PH-PSH	
Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbiana MHA Shelter Plus Care 1	PH-PSH	
Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbiana Free Choice II - The Counseling Center	PH-PSH	
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP TH	TH	Y
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP SSO	SSO	Y
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP RRH	PH-RRH	Y
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP CE	CE	Y
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Stable Futures	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Fayette Landing	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	CAC Permanent Supportive Housing (aka: Destination HOME)	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Fayette Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Empowerment Center*	TH-RRH	
Community Action Committee of Pike County	CAC of Pike County PSH	PH-PSH	Y
Community Action Committee of Pike County	CAC of Pike County RRH	PH-RRH	Y
Family & Community Services	Ravenna Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
Family & Community Services, Inc.	PATH 3 RRH	TH	
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Miami County Family RRH	PH-RRH	
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Family Abuse Shelter PSH	PH-PSH	

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Miami County SPC	PH-PSH	
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County, Inc.	Supportive Opportunity & Services*	TH	
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County, Inc.	Square One RRH*	PH-RRH	Y
Findlay Hope House for the Homeless, Inc	Able Housing	PH-PSH	
Geauga County Board of Mental Health & Recovery Services	SPC Geauga County TRA	PH-PSH	
Geauga County Board of Mental Health & Recovery Services	Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Homenet PSH Consolidated	PH-PSH	
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Homenet Permanent Supportive Housing Program - DV	PH-PSH	
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Rapid ReHousing Conversion	PH-RRH	
HM Housing Development Corp	Faith House II	PH-PSH	
Hocking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Hocking Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Athens Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Athens Serenity Village SAMI Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Charles Place and Graham Drive consolidated grants	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	YHDP RRH	PH-RRH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	MacArthur Gardens	PH-PSH	
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Springfield	Permanent Housing with Supportive Services	PH-PSH	
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Springfield	Saint Vincent House	PH-PSH	
Ironton Lawrence County Area CAO, Inc.	Lawrence County One-Stop TRA	TH	
Jefferson County Community Action Council	Supportive Housing Program	PH-PSH	
Kno-Ho-Co Ashland CAA	Knox County TRA	PH-PSH	
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board	Lake SPC Combined	PH-PSH	
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board	McKinley Grove	PH-PSH	
Lawrence County Port Authority	Lawrence One-Stop Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH DV Housing*	Joint TH-RRH	Y
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH Rapid Re-Housing	PH-RRH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH Transitional Housing	TH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Rapid Re-Housing Ohio	PH-RRH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Region 9 RRH	PH-RRH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH PSH Licking County	PH-PSH	
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care Chronic	PH-PSH	
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care Vouchers 2	PH-PSH	
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Licking Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Lorain County Board of Mental Health	Lorain Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Lutheran Social Services	Fairfield County S+C	PH-PSH	

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
Medina County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Board	Northland II	PH-PSH	
Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Medina County TRA	PH-PSH	
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Ashland County	Beginning Anew	PH-PSH	
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	I'm Home	PH-PSH	
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	Shelter Plus Care Union County	PH-PSH	
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Logan/Champaign Housing	PH-PSH	
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Family Housing	PH-PSH	
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Madriver/Park Street	PH-PSH	
New Housing Ohio, Inc.	Warren County Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
New Sunrise Properties, Inc.	Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
Northwest Ohio Community Action Commission	NOCAC PSH	PH-PSH	
Ohio Domestic Violence Network	DV Bonus RRH Project for Ohio BoSCoC* (REACH 2.0)	PH-RRH	
Ohio Domestic Violence Network	ODVN RRH Project*	PH-PSH	Y
OneEighty, Inc	PSH Plus Care	PH-PSH	
OneEighty, Inc	OneEighty & WMHA Collab PSH	PH-PSH	Y
Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Portage Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Preble County Mental Health and Recovery Board	Prestwick Square	PH-PSH	
Project Woman of Springfield and Clark County	Reign of Renewal*	PH-RRH	
Residential Administrators, Inc.	Residential Administrators PSH	PH-PSH	
Serve City, Inc.	MOVE PSH	PH-PSH	Y
Sojourners Care Network	Generation Now PSH	PH-PSH	
Sojourners Care Network	Youth Crisis Transitional Housing	TH	
Sojourners Care Network	Youth Crisis Response Team	SSO	
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Delaware County Permanent Supportive Housing for Families	PH-PSH	
Trumbull County Mental Health and Recovery Board	Joey's Landing	PH-PSH	
Trumbull County Mental Health and Recovery Board	Trumbull Shelter Plus Care Vouchers	PH-PSH	
Volunteers of America of Greater Ohio, Inc.	Crossroads Supportive Housing Program	TH	
Volunteers of America of Greater Ohio, Inc.	Almost Home	PH-RRH	
Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Bridges	PH-RRH	
Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Warren S+C	PH-PSH	
YWCA Hamilton	Goodman Place PSH	PH-PSH	
YWCA of Elyria	Women's Campus Project	TH	
YWCA of Elyria	Women In Secure Housing	PH-PSH	

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
--------------	--------------	--------------	---

**These projects do not participate in HMIS. Recipients must submit an e-snaps APR to COHHIO in order to have performance evaluated and receive a project evaluation score.*

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Joint TH-Rapid Re-Housing Projects Reporting Period = 1/1/23-12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact <i>(Maximum Points = 50)</i>		50	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from TH to PH at exit	≥75%	13	HMIS & Rme
	≥70% - <75%	10	
	≥65% - <70%	7	
	<65%	0	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from RRH to PH at exit	≥75%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥70% - <74%	7.5	
	≥65% - <69%	5	
	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% adults who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
	≥75% - <80%	5	
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥18%	2	HMIS & Rme
	≥13% - <18%	1	
	<13%	0	
Length of Time Homeless			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: average length of stay in TH	≤200 days	10	HMIS & Rme
	>200 - ≤240 days	7.5	
	>240 - ≤280 days	5	
	>280 days	0	
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting period (RRH program entry date to housing move-in date)	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need <i>(Maximum Points = 25)</i>		25	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
% adults who entered the project during the date range from streets/emergency shelter only	≥85%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	4	
	≥75% - <80%	3	
	<75%	0	
% adults who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥40%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥37% - <40%	7.5	
	≥34% - <37%	5	
	<34%	0	
Median Homeless History Index score for adults who entered the project during the reporting period (Homeless History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	4 - 7	5	HMIS & Rme
	3	4	
	2	3	
	1	2	
	0	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS (excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	100%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≤90% - >100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices <i>(Maximum Points = 15)</i>			
Housing First Practices		15	
<i>(Removed Barriers to Entry (not not exhaustive))</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance 		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Voluntary Supportive Services <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary 		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Housing Focused Assistance (<i>all the following practices must be documented and in place</i>) *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, up to 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Maximum Points = 5)		5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
<p>project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. What, if any, disparities were identified. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.</p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<i>If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 2 points</i>	
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
Standard Operating Procedures (P&P) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed		up to 25 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 25 points</i>	
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
<i>If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 20 points</i>	
Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors			HMIS & Rme
	0% errors	5	
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			
Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback: 1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design 2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients 3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures			
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥95%	0	HUD eLOCCS Info
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
	<70%	-20	
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Timely Submission of APRs		
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to 50 points total could be deducted	up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		
		150

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

PSH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source & Where Available
Project Participant Impact <i>(Maximum Points = 25)</i>		25	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household who were served in the date range and remained in project as of end of reporting period or exited to PH during the reporting period	≥90%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥85% - <90%	8	
	≥80% - <85%	4	
	<80%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% adult participants who entered the project during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	8	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	6	
	≥75% - <80%	4	
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥30%	2	HMIS & Rme
	≥24% - <30%	1	
	<24%	0	
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting period	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need <i>(Maximum Points = 35)</i>		35	
Serving Those with More Severe Needs and Longest Homeless Histories			
% adult who entered project during the date range and came from streets/emergency shelter only	≥85%	8	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	6	
	≥75% - <80%	4	
	<75%	0	
% adult who entered project during the date range with no income	≥40%	7	HMIS & Rme
	≥37% - <40%	5	
	≥34% - <37%	3	
	<34%	0	
Median Homeless History Index score for adult who entered project during the date range who entered during the reporting period (Homeless History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	6 - 7	10	HMIS & Rme
	5	9	
	3 - 4	8	
	2	5	
	1	2	
% entries of long-term homeless entries into PSH for adult participants who entered the project during the reporting period	≥90%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≥75% - <90%	4	
	≥50% - <75%	3	
	≥30% - <50%	2	
	≥20% - <30%	1	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS (excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	<20%	0	HMIS & Rme
	100%	5	
	≤90% - >100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices <i>(Maximum Points = 25)</i>		15	
Housing First Practices			
Removed Barriers to Entry <i>(list not exhaustive)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance 		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Voluntary Supportive Services <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary 		up to 5 points	Program Documents

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Housing Focused Assistance <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	
Prioritizing Chronically Homeless		10	
Program follows the Order of Priority for PSH Project Outlined in Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards (chronic dedicated projects will receive 5 points minimum; they will receive the full 10 points if their P&P demonstrate full compliance with the PSH Order of Priority outlined in the Program Standards)		10	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 5 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 5 points</i>	
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Points = 5)		5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
<p>project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. 2. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. 3. What, if any, disparities were identified. 4. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. 5. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.</p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<i>If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 2 points</i>	
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which grantees are required to submit SOPs		up to 25 points	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 25 points</i>	
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
<i>If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 20 points</i>	
Coordinated Entry Compliance (Maximum Points = 5)		5	
Prioritization Workgroup Evidence			
Project staff participate in local Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritization through that process only. Evidence of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written confirmation from Prioritization Workgroup members, etc.		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors	0% errors	5	Rme
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback: 1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design 2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients 3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures			
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥95%	0	HUD eLOCCS Info
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
<70%	-20		
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to 50 points total could be deducted		up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Rapid Re-Housing Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23-12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 40)		40	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from RRH to PH at exit	≥75%	13	HMIS & Rme
	≥70% - <74%	9	
	≥65% - <69%	6	
	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% adults who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
	≥75% - <80%	5	
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥18%	2	HMIS & Rme
	≥13% - <18%	1	
	<13%	0	
Length of Time Assisted			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: Average length of stay in RRH	≤24 months	10	HMIS & Rme
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting period	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 35)		35	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
% adults who entered the project during the date range from streets/emergency shelter only	≥85%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
	≥75% - <80%	5	
	<75%	0	
% adults who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥40%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥37% - <40%	7.5	
	≥34% - <37%	5	
	<34%	0	
Median Homeless History Index score for adults who entered the project during the reporting period (Homeless History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	4 - 7	10	HMIS & Rme
	3	8	
	2	7	
	1	5	
	0	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS (excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	100%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≤90% - >100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
Housing First Practices		15	
<i>Removed Barriers to Entry (list not exhaustive)</i> *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Voluntary Supportive Services <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Housing Focused Assistance <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, up to 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Maximum Points = 5)		5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
<p>Provide a narrative that describes the assessment of racial disparities and action plan development that you completed for this project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. What, if any, disparities were identified. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p><i>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.</i></p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus 2 points	
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
Standard Operating Procedures (P&P) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed		up to 25 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus 25 points	
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus 20 points	
Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors			
	0% errors	5	HMIS & Rme
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			
Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted 		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures			
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥95%	0	HUD eLOCCS Info
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<70%	-20	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction , up to 50 points total could be deducted		up to -40 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

TH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 38)		38	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from TH to PH at exit	≥75%	16	HMIS & Rme
	≥70% - <75%	11	
	≥65% - <70%	6	
	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% adults who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
	≥75% - <80%	5	
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥28%	2	HMIS & Rme
	≥22% - <28%	1	
	<22%	0	
Length of Time Homeless			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: average length of stay in TH	≤200 days	10	HMIS & Rme
	>200 - ≤240 days	7.5	
	>240 - ≤280 days	5	
	>280 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 37)		37	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
% adults who entered the project during the date range from streets/emergency shelter only	≥75%	12	HMIS & Rme
	≥71% - <75%	9	
	≥67% - <71%	6	
	<67%	0	
% adult who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥30%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥27% - <30%	7.5	
	≥24% - <27%	5	
	<24%	0	
Median Homeless History Index score for adults who entered the project during the reporting period (Homeless History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	4 - 7	10	HMIS & Rme
	3	8	
	2	7	
	1	5	
	0	0	
% head of households who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS (excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	100%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≤90% - >100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
Housing First Practices		15	
Removed Barriers to Entry <i>(list not exhaustive)</i> *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Voluntary Supportive Services <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Housing Focused Assistance <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i> *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Maximum Points = 5)		5	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
<p>project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. What, if any, disparities were identified. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p><i>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.</i></p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<i>If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 2 points</i>	
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
Standard Operating Procedures (P&P) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed		up to 25 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 25 points</i>	
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
<i>If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 20 points</i>	
Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors			HMIS & Rme
	0% errors	5	
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			
Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted 		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures			
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥95%	0	HUD eLOCCS Info
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<70%	-20	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to 50 points total could be deducted		up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Youth TH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 38)		55	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from TH to PH at exit	≥40%	25	HMIS & Rme
	≥35% - <40%	20	
	≥30% - <35%	15	
	<30%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% youth who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
	≥75% - <80%	5	
	<75%	0	
% youth participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥10%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≥7% - <10%	3	
	<7%	0	
Length of Time Homeless			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: average length of stay in TH	≤240 days	15	HMIS & Rme
	>240 - ≤260 days	10	
	>260 - ≤280 days	7	
	>280 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
% youth who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥30%	15	HMIS & Rme
	≥27% - <30%	10	
	≥24% - <27%	7	
	<24%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
Housing First Practices		15	
Removed Barriers to Entry (<i>list not exhaustive</i>) *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Voluntary Supportive Services (<i>all the following practices must be documented and in place</i>) *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Housing Focused Assistance (<i>all the following practices must be documented and in place</i>) *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Points = 5)		5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

<p>project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. What, if any, disparities were identified. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.</p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<p>If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</p>		<p>minus 2 points</p>	
<p>CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)</p>		<p>45</p>	
<p>SOP Compliance</p>			
<p>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which grantees are required to submit SOPs</p>		up to 25 points	Program Documents
<p>If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</p>		<p>minus 25 points</p>	
<p>Client Documentation</p>			
<p>Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule</p>		up to 20 points	Client Files
<p>If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</p>		<p>minus 20 points</p>	
<p>Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)</p>		<p>10</p>	
<p>HMIS Data Quality</p>			
<p>% of HMIS client records with errors</p>	0% errors	5	<p>Rme</p>
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
<p>Incorporating PLE into Program Design</p>			
<p>Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted 		10	Evidence submitted by grantees
<p>Grant Expenditures</p>			
<p>% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term</p>	≥95%	0	<p>HUD eLOCCS Info</p>
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
	<70%	-20	
<p>% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term</p>	<80%	-10	<p>HUD eLOCCS Info</p>
<p>Timely Submission of APRs</p>			
<p>Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction, up to 50 points total could be deducted</p>		up to -50 pts	SAGE
<p>YHDP Priority Points</p>		<p>10</p>	
<p>TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)</p>		<p>100</p>	<p>150</p>

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Youth Rapid Re-Housing Projects Reporting Period = 1/1/23-12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact <i>(Maximum Points = 42)</i>		45	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from RRH to PH at exit	≥75%	15	HMIS & Rme
	≥70% - <74%	12	
	≥65% - <69%	10	
	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% youth who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
	≥75% - <80%	5	
	<75%	0	
% youth participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥18%	2	HMIS & Rme
	≥13% - <18%	1	
	<13%	0	
Length of Time Assisted			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: Average length of stay in RRH	≤24 months	13	HMIS & Rme
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting period	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need <i>(Maximum Points = 15)</i>		15	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
% youth who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥40%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥37% - <40%	7.5	
	≥34% - <37%	5	
	<34%	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS (excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	100%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≤90% - >100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices <i>(Maximum Points = 15)</i>			
Housing First Practices <i>(removed barriers to entry (not exhaustive))</i>		15	
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Voluntary Supportive Services <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i>		up to 5 points	Program Documents
*The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Housing Focused Assistance <i>(all the following practices must be documented and in place)</i>		up to 5 points	Program Documents
*Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, up to 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity <i>(Max Points = 5)</i>		5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

<p>Provide a narrative** that describes the assessment of racial disparities and action plan development that you completed for this project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. 2. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. 3. What, if any, disparities were identified. 4. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. 5. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide</p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<p><i>If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i></p>		<p><i>minus 2 points</i></p>	
<p>CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)</p>		<p>45</p>	
<p>SOP Compliance</p>			
<p>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which grantees are required to submit SOPs</p>		up to 25 points	Program Documents
<p><i>If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i></p>		<p><i>minus 25 points</i></p>	
<p>Client Documentation</p>			
<p>Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule</p>		up to 20 points	Client Files
<p><i>If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i></p>		<p><i>minus 20 points</i></p>	
<p>Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 15)</p>		<p>15</p>	
<p>HMIS Data Quality</p>			
<p>% of HMIS client records with errors</p>	0% errors	5	<p>Rme</p>
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
<p>Incorporating PLE into Program Design</p>			
<p>Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design 2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients 3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted 		10	Evidence submitted by grantees
<p>Grant Expenditures</p>			
<p>% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term</p>	≥95%	0	<p>HUD eLOCCS Info</p>
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
	<70%	-20	
<p>% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term</p>	<80%	-10	<p>HUD eLOCCS Info</p>
<p>Timely Submission of APRs</p>			
<p>Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction, up to 50 points total could be deducted</p>		up to -50 pts	SAGE
<p>YHDP Priority Points</p>		10	
<p>TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)</p>		<p>150</p>	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Youth PSH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source & Where Available
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 30)		30	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household who were served in the date range and remained in project as of end of reporting period or exited to PH during the reporting period	≥90%	12	HMIS & Rme
	≥85% - <90%	10	
	≥80% - <85%	8	
	<80%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
% adult participants who entered the project during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥85%	8	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	6	
	≥75% - <80%	4	
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period or at program exit	≥14%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≥10% - <14%	3	
	<10%	0	
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting period	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 27)		30	
Serving Those with More Severe Needs and Longest Homeless Histories			
% youth who entered project during the date range and came from streets, TH, emergency shelter only	≥85%	15	HMIS & Rme
	≥80% - <85%	13	
	≥75% - <80%	10	
	<75%	0	
% youth who entered project during the date range with no income	≥40%	10	HMIS & Rme
	≥37% - <40%	8	
	≥34% - <37%	6	
	<34%	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS (excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	100%	5	HMIS & Rme
	≤90% - >100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 25)		15	
Housing First Practices			
Removed Barriers to Entry (<i>list not exhaustive</i>) *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Voluntary Supportive Services (<i>all the following practices must be documented and in place</i>) *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Housing Focused Assistance (<i>all the following practices must be documented and in place</i>) *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires		up to 5 points	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 10 points</i>	
Prioritizing Chronically Homeless			
Program follows the Order of Priority for PSH Project Outlined in Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards (chronic dedicated projects will receive 5 points minimum; they will receive the full 10 points if their P&P demonstrate full compliance with the PSH Order of Priority outlined in the Program Standards)		10	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 5 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 5 points</i>	

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Points = 5)		5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
<p>project. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers. What, if any, disparities were identified. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis. <p><i>**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.</i></p>		up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<i>If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 2 points</i>	
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
<p>Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule</p> <p>- See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which grantees are required to submit SOPs</p>		up to 25 points	Program Documents
<i>If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 25 points</i>	
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
<i>If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.</i>		<i>minus 20 points</i>	
Coordinated Entry Compliance (Maximum Points = 5)		5	
Prioritization Workgroup Evidence			
Project staff participate in local Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritization through that process only. Evidence of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written confirmation from Prioritization Workgroup members, etc.		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors	0% errors	5	Rme
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors	4	
	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors	3	
	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors	2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors	1	
	> 10% errors	0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			
<p>Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted 		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures			
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥95%	0	HUD eLOCCS Info
	≥90% - <95%	-5	
	≥80% - <90%	-10	
	≥70% - <80%	-15	
	<70%	-20	
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info

APPENDIX B: FY2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure

Timely Submission of APRs		
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to 50 points total could be deducted	up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (<i>Maximum Points = 150</i>)		150

APPENDIX C: HMIS Data Quality Information for the CoC Project Evaluation Process

The Ohio BoSCoC Competition tab in R minor elevated (Rme) only looks at Clients with an Issue Type of “Error” or “High Priority”, except for “Overlapping Entry Exit”, which is a High Priority issue but doesn’t impact the project evaluation process. The report will not count any Issue Types of “Warning” against the provider or project.

HMIS users should ensure their Data Quality in Rme is as free of High Priority issues and Errors (minus Overlaps) prior to preliminary and final project evaluation data being posted in Rme. HMIS users should be sure they have their Data Quality clear of Missing Data (except for Destination) including Income, Non-Cash, Health Insurance, Disabilities, Duplicate Entry/Exits, Incorrect Entry/Exit Types, Children Only Households, and Missing Head of Households.

Scoring HMIS Data Quality

A maximum of five (5) points are available for the HMIS Data Quality item in the project evaluation process. The breakdown of those five points is as follows:

Add the number of Clients with either an Issue Type of “High Priority” (minus Overlapping Entry Exits) or “Error” and divide that sum by the total number of clients served during 2021:

- If the agency has 0%, they will get all 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 0% and up to 2%, the agency will get 4 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 2% and up to 5%, the agency will get 3 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 5% and up to 8%, the agency will get 2 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 8% and up to 10%, the agency will get 1 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 10%, the agency will get 0 of the 5 points.

Clients Served:

Includes all clients served during the reporting period, including those who entered a RRH or PSH project but never moved in.