# **FY2024 CoC Competition Guide**

### **Ohio Balance of State Continuum of Care**

**April 2024** 



# **Table of Contents**

Background and Introduction	3
Target Audience	
Purpose of this Document	3
Goals and Priorities for the Ohio BoSCoC CoC Competition	3
Reallocation Policy and Process	4
Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Process	5
Renewal CoC Project Ranking	9
Appealing Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Results or Preliminary Ranking	9
Request for Proposals for New Projects	10
Renewal CoC Project Application Submission Process	12
Final Submission of the Ohio BoSCoC Consolidated CoC Application	12
Appendix A- 2024 Renewal CoC Projects Listing	<u></u>
Appendix B- 2024 Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure	<u></u>
Appendix C- HMIS Data Quality Information	<u></u>
Appendix D- Implementing Best Practices	<u></u>
Appendix E- Homeless History Index	<u></u>
Appendix F- CoC Compliance Review Tool	<u></u>
Appendix G – HF, CH, CoC Compliance Evaluation List	<u></u>
Appendix H - CoC Client File Review Tool	

### **Background and Introduction**

#### Organization of the Ohio Balance of State Continuum of Care

The Ohio Balance of State Continuum of Care (BoSCoC) is comprised of the 80 rural counties in Ohio and represents diverse populations, needs, and capabilities. The 80 counties within the Ohio BoSCoC are further organized into 17 Homeless Planning Regions that engage in collaborative planning around homeless programming. A map of the Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Planning Regions can be found <a href="https://example.com/here-needed-complex-needed

The Ohio BoSCoC Board, which is comprised in part of one representative from each BoSCoC Homeless Planning Region, guides the policy and planning direction of the continuum. The CoC Board has designated the Ohio Department of Development (ODOD), as the Collaborative Applicant for the Ohio BoSCoC. In this role, ODOD is responsible for submitting the annual consolidated application for CoC Program funding on behalf of the Ohio BoSCoC. In turn, ODOD has contracted with the Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio (COHHIO) to provide primary staff support to the Ohio BoSCoC.

### **HUD's Continuum of Care Program and the Annual Continuum of Care Competition**

Every year, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) makes available federal resources for homeless programming to communities around the country through its Continuum of Care (CoC) Program and its annual CoC Competition. Continuums access these funds by completing consolidated applications on behalf of the federally funded homeless programs in their CoC. For the Ohio BoSCoC, the Collaborative Applicant (ODOD) and COHHIO facilitate this process and submit the consolidated application. Any organization located within the 80 counties of the Ohio BoSCoC that wishes to access new or renewal CoC Program funds must participate in local homeless planning efforts and the annual BoSCoC CoC Competition to do so.

The Ohio BoSCoC receives over \$20 million annually for new and renewing homeless programs, representing over 100 transitional and permanent housing programs across 80 counties.

### **Target Audience**

The 2024 CoC competition plan and timeline is only applicable to HUD CoC-funded projects renewing their CoC project funding in 2024, or those organizations interested in applying for funding for a new CoC-funded project.

### **Purpose of this Document**

This document is intended to provide Ohio BoSCoC members with basic information about the Ohio BoSCoC 2024 CoC Competition, including the following:

- Priorities for new and renewal projects
- Key CoC Program policies for the Ohio BoSCoC
- The renewal project evaluation process and timeline
- The process for completion of the 2024 Ohio BoSCoC consolidated application
- Preliminary priorities for ranking renewal and new CoC projects in the consolidated application

### Goals and Priorities for the Ohio BoSCoC CoC Competition

The Ohio BoSCoC Board has identified the following funding priorities for the 2024 Ohio BoSCoC Competition:

- Submit a consolidated application that meets threshold and maximizes available funding
- Fund projects that meet community needs
  - Including project conversions and new PH projects
- Fund projects that are cost effective and maximize program and mainstream resources
- Fund projects that successfully end homelessness
- Promote the use of best practices

In addition, the CoC Board has identified the following goal and priorities to guide final ranking of new and renewal projects in the 2024 CoC Consolidated application:

- Project Ranking Goal: To rank Ohio BoSCoC new and renewal projects in a way that helps us continue to meet local homeless needs, while also helping the CoC maximize CoC Program funds and ensure ongoing national competitiveness
  - To that end, the following priorities, in no particular order, will help guide development of a final ranking approach:
    - The CoC may seek to preserve low-ranking projects at risk of losing funding where those projects represent the only CoC Program funding in their communities, with a priority for PH project types
    - The CoC may prioritize projects that have demonstrated the use of Housing First practices
    - The CoC may consider reducing funding requests for the lowest ranked projects as a means to preserve funding for higher ranked projects, if needed, and keeping in line with other priorities
    - The CoC may consider ranking new projects higher than some renewal projects, where the CoC believes doing so will better help the CoC meet the ranking goal outlined above
    - The CoC may consider unresolved HUD monitoring findings when making final ranking decisions for low scoring projects

### **Reallocation Policy and Process**

The Ohio BoSCoC uses two different processes for reallocating CoC Program funding from renewal projects to new CoC projects – a voluntary reallocation process and involuntary reallocation process.

#### **Voluntary Reallocation**

Any renewal CoC project may voluntarily give up their grant funding, in part or in whole, to have it reallocated to a new eligible/allowable project. If the agency seeking to go through a voluntary reallocation would like to retain the CoC Program funds for a new project of another type (sometimes referred to as a project conversion), that agency will need to submit a new project application per the process outlined later in this document.

Otherwise, any new projects funded by dollars coming from a voluntary reallocation will be determined by the CoC's regular new project scoring and ranking process.

CoC grantees interested in voluntarily reallocating their funds should communicate their plans to the CoC team by May 16, 2024.

#### **Involuntary Reallocation**

The Ohio BoSCoC may involuntarily reallocate CoC Program funding from renewal projects if significant performance or CoC program compliance issues are identified in the project evaluation process.

#### Project Evaluation Scoring Threshold

Renewing CoC projects that have a final project evaluation score that is less than 70% of the total project evaluation points available in the 2024 project evaluation process (see appendix for project evaluation scoring details) are not automatically eligible to renew their projects and are at risk of having their funding involuntarily reallocated. Those projects not meeting the scoring threshold who would like to renew their funding will be required to develop and submit to the CoC team an Improvement Action Plan (IAP).

Following release of final project evaluation scores, CoC staff will send communications to CoC project grantees falling under the 70% scoring threshold and at risk of involuntary funding reallocation that outlines the requirements of IAP submission.

#### Improvement Action Plan

The IAP submitted by projects not meeting the project evaluation score threshold should include the following:

- The intention of the grantee to voluntarily reallocate their funding, or attempt to renew funding
- If seeking to renew funding, the grantee must provide the following information:

- Reason for low project evaluation score
- Action plan and timeline to address the performance or compliance issues that led to the low score
- IAPs must be submitted by the deadline identified in the CoC Competition Timeline

#### Reallocation Determinations

Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee and CoC staff will review IAPs and make *preliminary* reallocation recommendations to the CoC Board. The Steering Committee's review and determination will include, but is not limited to, impact of project loss or retention on the CoC and local communities, past performance, past monitoring or TA issues, capacity for change. The review will also take into consideration the project ranking goal and priorities. Preliminary reallocation decisions will be communicated in writing to impacted grantees by the date identified in the CoC Competition Timeline.

When making preliminary reallocation recommendations, the Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee will identify projects that are permitted to submit conditional renewal applications. This means the renewing grantee's IAP has been sufficient for the CoC to potentially allow them to renew funding, pending the total availability of funding for all new and renewal projects as announced by the release of the annual CoC Competition and NOFO. Final decisions and communications re: which low scoring renewal projects will be ranked in the CoC's Project Listing will be shared after the CoC Competition opens.

As needed, Ohio BoSCoC staff may target low scoring renewal projects for CoC Program compliance monitoring or technical assistance after the CoC Competition to help them further identify and address areas needing improvement.

Projects receiving at least 70% of total project evaluation points available are permitted to automatically renew CoC project funding.

### **Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Process**

The Ohio BoSCoC Project Evaluation Workgroup develops the annual renewal CoC project evaluation process and preliminary project ranking approach. The evaluation process and related project ranking helps the Ohio BoSCoC fully maximize CoC Program funds, make informed funding decisions, and continue to move the CoC toward our goal of ending homelessness.

A complete list of projects eligible for renewal in the FY2024 CoC Competition can be found in Appendix A. Details about the project evaluation specifications and points structure can be found in Appendix B.

You can find more detailed information about selected project evaluation items in the following sections.

#### **CoC Competition Project Evaluation Data in Rme**

HMIS is the primary data source for the project evaluation process for all renewing CoC projects (except for non-HMIS participating providers, such as victim services agencies). Detailed project-level performance on each of the project evaluation items for which HMIS is the data source is displayed in the CoC Competition Renewal Project Evaluation tab in Rme. The project evaluation items include project performancebased on most recent entry/exits during the reporting period. If a client had multiple entries/exits in a project, the most recent interaction with the project would be reflected in the project evaluation data.

#### Serving Households with Most Severe Needs and Longest Homeless Histories

The Ohio BoSCoC reviews the HMIS-generated Homeless History Index report and long-term homeless PSH project entries to evaluate project performance in serving those with the greatest needs and longest homeless histories. The Homeless History Index report produces a median score factored on the number of times homeless, number of months homeless, and approximate date homelessness started for clients who enter the system. All project types will be evaluated based on the Homeless History Index data. Victim service providers that are prohibited from entering data into HMIS will not be evaluated on this item and will receive full points

The CoC reviews HMIS data about long-term homeless household entries into PSH projects to help evaluate PSH projects' adherence to the PSH Order of Priority. Long-term homeless means that the person/household has a total duration of homelessness that would meet the chronic homeless definition.

#### **HMIS Data Quality**

Since HMIS data supplies all CoC project performance data for the project evaluation process, it is critical that all projects maintain quality HMIS data. The Ohio BoSCoC HMIS Data Quality Standards state that HMIS-participating providers should have 0% missing data for most data elements. For purposes of this project evaluation process, renewing CoC projects must not have more than 2% missing data for any evaluated item, as described below. Projects with more than 2% missing data rates on an evaluated item will be considered to have failed to meet the goal and will receive zero points on that particular scored item. For example, Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects can receive 8 points if at least 85% of their project leavers in the reporting period received one or more sources of non-cash benefits or health insurance. If the *Project Evaluation* data shows a CoC PSH project with an 85% rate of leavers receiving non-cash benefits and health insurance, but their missing data rate for that item was 4%, then the PSH project will receive 0 points for the evaluated item because their data quality was too poor (i.e., their missing data rate was too high) to evaluate performance on the scored item.

Each CoC-funded project can have any of four Data Quality flags that can affect their scoring. A flag will affect scoring if the number of issues for each flag is over 2% of that project's data.

Data Quality Flag	Data Quality Issues	What Measures Flag Applies To
General	Duplicate Entry Exits, Missing HoH, Missing Relationship to HoH, Too Many HoHs, Children Only Households, or Incorrect Entry Exit Type	All Measures that come from HMIS (except the Data Quality measure)
Benefits	Non-cash Benefits Missing at Entry, Conflicting Non-Cash Benefits yes/no	Health Insurance & Non-Cash Benefits
Income	Income Missing at Entry, Conflicting Income yes/no	Increase Income, No Income at Entry
Length of Time Homeless	Missing Residence Prior, Missing Months or Times Homeless, Incomplete Living Situation Data	Homeless History Index, Long Term Homeless

It is critical that CoC project recipients evaluate and correct their HMIS data well in advance of the release of the project evaluation data in Rme. Recipients can review their project performance and HMIS data quality via Rme on an ongoing basis. Please email <a href="mailto:hmis@cohhio.org">hmis@cohhio.org</a> for any needed assistance.

#### **Special Considerations**

When a project evaluation item is based only on those who exited or entered the project, projects with 1 or no leavers or entries (i.e., no one exited or entered the project during the reporting period) will be considered to have met the goal and receive full points for the particular evaluation item. In cases where a participant dies during their program stay, that 'deceased' exit will be excluded from any evaluation item that is based on leavers.

Newly operating projects, including grant transfers, that have less than 9 months of client-level data will not be scored as part of this evaluation process and will be ranked within Tier 1.

Projects funded by the Round 3 Youth Homelessness Demonstration Program (YHDP) or later rounds will be ranked within Tier 1.

#### Non-HMIS Participating Providers' Project Evaluation

CoC staff review *Annual Performance Report* (APR) data for the reporting period to evaluate project performance for non-HMIS participating CoC projects. If an APR for that period has not already been submitted, then the non-HMIS participating provider must submit an APR by 5/16/24 to CoC staff at <a href="https://doi.org/no.com/obs/no.com

Non-HMIS participating projects are not evaluated on the HMIS data quality item and automatically receive the maximum points for that evaluation item.

A list of all the non-HMIS participating providers renewing CoC projects in 2024 can be found in Appendix A.

#### **Housing First Practices and Chronic Homelessness Prioritization**

In 2024, only CoC grantees scoring below a certain threshold in the FY2023 project evaluation process will be required to resubmit their project's Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to show evidence of Housing First practices and Chronic Homelessness Prioritization. For the Housing First evaluation item, any project that scored 11 points or less during the FY23 evaluation must resubmit their SOPs for evidence of Housing First practices. Any renewing PSH projects that scored 7 points or less during the FY23 Chronic Homelessness Prioritization evaluation process will also be required to resubmit the most updated SOPs.

If required to resubmit, the CoC will review and score the projects' most up to date SOPs on use of Housing First practices and, for PSH projects only, for evidence of procedures that support prioritization of chronically homeless.

Please notes, those organizations required to submit SOPs who fail to do so will have 10 to 15 points deducted from the overall project evaluation score.

#### **CoC Program Compliance**

In 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will re-evaluate CoC projects that scored lower than 18 out of 25 points (72%) for CoC Program Compliance during last year's project evaluation. CoC staff will re-evaluate compliance by reviewing the most up to date SOPs against key sections of the CoC Program Rule. Details about the sections of the CoC Program Rule that projects will be evaluated on, as well as a detailed scoring tool, can be found in the appendix.

Please note, grantees who scored lower than 18 points during last year's CoC Compliance evaluation who fail to submit their policies and procedures for review in 2024 will have 25 points deducted from their project evaluation score for CoC Compliance. This point deduction is on top of the 15-point deduction that also applies if a project grantee fails to submit policies and procedures for evaluation on Housing First compliance and chronic homeless prioritization.

CoC projects that scored at least 18 out of 25 points for CoC Program Compliance last year are not required to submit SOPs in 2024. Projects are permitted to re-submit updated SOPs in order to increase their overall CoC Program Compliance score, but are not required to do so.

#### Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity

In 2024, the CoC will evaluate all project types on how the grantees analyzed and addressed racial disparities. Grantees must provide proof of analysis through the submission of narrative responses showing how data and non-data sources were used to analyze racial disparities that exist within the projects, as well as details on how the project will take action steps to address identified disparities. The CoC has made a sample analysis template with detailed instructions available as an option to aid in this analysis. Please note that the provided assessment tool is not required, and grantees are welcome to conduct their own analyses. Grantees must submit the following to demonstrate compliance with analyzing and addressing racial disparities:

- Narrative response describing the analysis to identify any disparities in the provision and outcomes of services as compared to the racial/ethnic make-up of those experiencing homelessness and the action plan developed. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:
  - 1) The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data shows.
    - a. If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial disparities in your project, provide the completed tool as your response to #1.
  - 2) Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential clients. This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessness in your system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.
  - 3) What, if any, disparities were identified in enrollments, outcomes, or barriers to entry.

- 4) If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan and timeline for taking those steps.
- 5) Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.

### **Coordinated Entry Compliance**

The Ohio BoSCoC evaluates <u>PSH projects</u> on compliance with the Coordinated Entry prioritization process, namely the use of Prioritization Workgroups to make prioritization decisions for PSH openings. Grantees must submit the following to demonstrate compliance with CE prioritization processes:

- 1. Brief narrative statement describing how the Prioritization Workgroup makes prioritization decisions and how frequently the workgroup meets
- 2. Grantees must submit *one* of the following as evidence of compliance with the Ohio BoSCoC CE prioritization process:
  - Meeting notes from a Prioritization Workgroup meeting that occurred within 2023 (ensure no client PII is in the meeting notes)
  - Email communication or other documented communication between providers that documents reliance on a Prioritization Workgroup meeting for PSH prioritization decisions (documentation must be dated in 2023)
  - A written statement from Prioritization Workgroup members confirming that Prioritization
     Workgroup meetings occur, the frequency of the meetings, and the date of the most recent 2023
     Prioritization Workgroup meeting

#### **Submission of APRs**

The Ohio BoSCoC evaluates all projects on the timely submission on Annual Performance Reports (APRs). For any CoC project with overdue or rejected APRs since 2019, 10 points will be deducted for each overdue or rejected APR. This could result in up to 50 total points being deducted if multiple APRs are overdue or rejected.

#### **NEW - Incorporating Feedback from People with Lived Experience**

New in 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will evaluate CoC projects on how they are incorporating feedback from people with lived experience of homelessness into project design. To be evaluated on this item, CoC projects must submit a sample (blank) consumer feedback tool and a completed consumer feedback tool with any personally identifying information (PII) redacted. The completed tool can be from anytime between 1/1/23 – 12/31/23. If no consumer feedback tool is available for review, projects may submit documented evidence of a Persons with Lived Experience (PLE) advisory group that had a meeting within CY2023.

#### **NEW - CoC Grant Expenditures**

In 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will evaluate projects on CoC program grant expenditures for FY 2021 (operating term 2022 to 2023) and FY20 (operating term 2021 to 2022). Grantees who did not expend 95% or more of funds for FY21 will have between 5-20 points deducted from their overall project evaluation score depending on the percentage of unspent funds. Additionally, grantees who did not expend 80% or more of FY20 grant funds will have 10 points deducted from their total score.

#### **NEW - Client File Review**

New in 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC will evaluate project client file documentation for eligibility and compliance with the CoC Program Interim Rule. All CoC grantees will be required to submit client file documentation for two clients who were enrolled in the program between 1/1/2022 – 12/31/23. In order to protect client confidentiality, all HMIS participating projects should submit documentation via the "Files" tab in Clarity. All non-HMIS participating projects will be provided with a secure dropbox link to upload documentation. The documentation required to be submitted will depend on the project type being evaluated. Please see Appendix B for more information.

#### **NEW - Renewal YHDP Project Evaluation**

Also new in 2024, YHDP projects funded in Round 1 will be competitively evaluated. Renewing Round 1 YHDP projects will be evaluated based on the youth-dedicated project measures detailed in the Ohio BoSCoC Performance Management Plan. Please see Appendix B to review what will be evaluated based on the project type.

#### Checklist of Documents that Providers Must Submit for Project Evaluation

Due Date	Document
5/16/24	Renewal Project Applicants  Non-HMIS Participating Applicants submit APRs for CY2023 reporting period Project Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)  All projects who scored 11 points or less during the FY23 Housing First evaluation process  All projects who scored 7 points or less during the FY23 Chronic Homelessness evaluation process  All projects who scored 17 points or less during the FY23 CoC Program Compliance evaluation process  Any projects renewing for the first time  Additional documents that will be evaluated for Housing First evidence and chronic homeless prioritization  Client responsibility documents, rules, participant handbook, etc. Blank individualized service planning documents Blank rental/occupancy/lease agreements (or sub-occupancy/sub-lease agreements)  Blank Project intake and/or assessment forms  Blank Homeless verification forms  Blank Disability verification forms  CE Compliance documentation (all PSH projects)  Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity narratives (all projects)  Client file documentation for 2 clients (all projects)

### **Renewal CoC Project Ranking**

After completing all project evaluations, CoC staff will preliminarily rank all renewal projects according to their evaluation score – e.g., projects with higher scores will be ranked higher in the project listing. However, the CoC Board will not make final ranking or funding recommendations until HUD releases the FY2024 CoC Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). The CoC NOFO will include details about the total CoC funds available for renewal and new projects as well as HUD's priorities for ranking and funding projects.

### Appealing Renewal CoC Project Evaluation Results or Preliminary Ranking

Renewal CoC project recipients may submit appeals of final project evaluation results and/or CoC project ranking. Appeals must be submitted according to the timeline identified below. Late appeals will not be considered.

Submitted appeals must clearly indicate exactly what is being appealed (project evaluation results and/or ranking decisions), must clearly explain the reason for the appeal, and include any relevant documentation.

The Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee will review all accepted appeals and communicate decisions regarding those appeals. Steering Committee decisions are FINAL.

### Request for Letters of Interest for a CE Project Application

In 2024, the Ohio BoSCoC Board has approved the CoC to submit a new project application to support Coordinated Entry (CE) work. The Ohio BoSCoC Board designated COHHIO, as the CE Management Entity, to serve as the lead applicant on the project application. COHHIO, in turn, is requesting Letters of Interest (LOIs) from eligible CE Access Point (CE AP) agencies to be included in the project application and potential subrecipients. Read the full *Request for Letters of Interest: FY2024 CE Project Application* for more details.

Eligible applicants are limited to current, non-profit CE Access Points.

#### **Letters of Interest Submission**

Letters of Interest should be submitted to <a href="mailto:ohioboscoc@cohhio.org">ohioboscoc@cohhio.org</a> using the provided LOI form. Letters of Interest are due June 5, 2024.

### **Request for Proposals for New Projects**

In FY2024, the Ohio BoSCoC is seeking new project proposals, via the following opportunities (pending CoC Program funding availability):

- Renewal TH projects voluntarily reallocating funding to create new RRH or PSH
- New projects that leverage partnerships with local housing authorities or healthcare providers
- New DV Bonus projects
- New RRH, TH, Joint TH-RRH, and PSH projects

More details about each of these opportunities are below. The number of new housing projects included in the FY2024 CoC project listing will depend largely on the size of the funding request of the CE project application, which is the CoC's priority new project application.

#### **Voluntary Reallocation Opportunity**

Current Transitional Housing (TH) project grantees have the opportunity to voluntarily reallocate their current renewal project funding for purposes of creating a new RRH or PSH project type (previously called a project conversion opportunity).

Applicants should strive to ensure that their proposed new project will serve at least as many households annually as their current CoC project.

Applicants seeking new project funding via a voluntary reallocation are permitted to request more in new project funding than their reallocated project had been awarded. However, new projects created through voluntary reallocation that request funding closer to the amount of reallocated grant, may be more likely to be ranked more competitively.

Please note, new projects created through voluntary reallocation should be treated as wholly new projects. They typically cannot serve clients of the reallocated renewal projects, and there will likely be a gap between the end of the reallocated project's grant term and that of the newly funded project.

#### Leveraging PHA and Healthcare Provider Partnerships Opportunity

New project applicants that demonstrate strong partnerships with local Public Housing Authorities (PHA) and/or healthcare providers (including behavioral healthcare agencies) are eligible to submit proposals for new RRH, Joint TH-RRH, or PSH projects.

Examples of projects involving strong partnerships with PHAs may include:

- PSH project application where local PHA commits rental assistance or project-based units for all PSH
  units and nonprofit service provider commits to provision of supportive services to participants.
  Application could include a funding request for supportive services, for example
- PSH project application where nonprofit service provider and local PHA have entered into formal agreement to implement a moving-on strategy with PSH participants who no longer need intensive

services but need access to affordable housing. As part of the moving on strategy, the PHA prioritizes the participants who are ready to exit the PSH project for its available housing options

Examples of projects involving strong partnerships with healthcare providers may include:

PSH project application where a local behavioral healthcare organization partners with a non-profit
housing provider. In this partnership, the housing provider manages the PSH units/site, and the
behavioral healthcare organization partner provides supportive services to clients in those units.
Application could include a funding request for rental assistance, for example

#### **DV Bonus Projects Opportunity**

The Ohio BoSCoC is seeking DV Bonus project proposals for new RRH or Joint TH-RRH project types. Applicants seeking funding through the DV Bonus Project opportunity must commit to serving survivors of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and must commit to using policies and practices that are trauma-informed and client-centered. Applicants do not necessarily need to be victim service provider, but must have demonstrated experience working with survivors.

#### **General New Projects Opportunity**

Eligible non-profit agencies interested in submitting a new project proposal that does not align with one of the previously described opportunities may submit proposals for new project types including RRH, TH, Joint TH-RRH, and PSH. However, proposals that do not align with one of the previously described opportunities will not be as competitive.

#### Geographic Priorities

New project proposals serving Ohio BoSCoC regions most in need (in part or whole), as identified below, may be more competitive. However, applicants may propose to serve any geography in the Ohio BoSCoC.

- Homeless Planning Region 14
- Homeless Planning Region 5
- Homeless Planning Region 9
- Homeless Planning Region 4
- Homeless Planning Region 15
- Homeless Planning Region 11
- Homeless Planning Region 3

#### **New Project Proposal Submission**

New project proposals should be submitted to <a href="mailto:ohioboscoc@cohhio.org">ohioboscoc@cohhio.org</a> using the provided New Project Proposal form. Proposals are due June 5, 2024.

#### Scoring and Ranking of New CoC Project Proposals

New Project Proposals will be reviewed and scored. Once all New Project Proposals, the CE project application, and renewal CoC projects are reviewed they will be ranked together according to their scores and the CoC's ranking priorities.

#### Additional Considerations, HUD Priorities, and Funding Availability for New Projects

At this point, we do not yet know if any new funds will be available for new CoC projects. Funding availability for new projects will be shared once the HUD CoC Program NOFO is released and funding availability is announced. New project application selection and ranking will be shared after the NOFO is released.

#### **New Grantee Required Training**

Agencies successfully awarded funding for a new CoC project will be required to engage in CoC Program training with CoC staff before beginning program operations. Additionally, new CoC project grantees must provide written Policies and Procedures, program forms, and any other program documentation to the CoC team for review prior to serving clients. If the CoC team identifies any issues with CoC Program compliance, the grantee must address those issues prior to serving clients.

### **Renewal CoC Project Application Submission Process**

#### **Renewal Project Applications**

Organizations seeking to renew CoC Program funding must follow the Ohio BoSCoC process. This document will be updated to reflect the process for renewal projects once HUD opens the FY2024 CoC Competition. Additional training and technical assistance documents will be provided at that time as well.

### Final Submission of the Ohio BoSCoC Consolidated CoC Application

After all renewal projects and new project applications have been received, reviewed, and ranked, the Ohio BoSCoC Collaborative Applicant (ODOD with assistance from COHHIO) will prepare the CoC Project Ranking list on behalf of the Ohio BoSCoC. Once the Ohio BoSCoC Board has approved the listing, ODOD will electronically submit the project applications and the Ohio BoSCoC Consolidated CoC Application via e-snaps to HUD.

Any questions about the Ohio BoSCoC FY2024 CoC Competition Guide can be directed to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org.

### **Ohio BoSCoC 2024 CoC Competition Timeline**

Following is the timeline for the 2024 CoC Competition as of April 15, 2024. This timeline will be updated once HUD opens the FY2024 CoC Competition.

DATE COMPLETE	ACTIVITY
4/22/24	Ohio BoSCoC: 2024 CoC Competition Training
TBD	Ohio BoSCoC Grant Inventory Worksheet finalized (ODOD/COHHIO to complete)
4/22/24	CoC Project Evaluation Process     Project Evaluation Data available in R minor elevated (Rme)
4/22/24 — 5/21/24	CoC Project Evaluation Process     Recipients correct HMIS data as needed     Corrections must be finalized by 11:59pm on 5/21/24
5/6/24	SSO-CE Project Application Office Hours     5/6/24 at 2pm     https://us06web.zoom.us/j/81238993887
5/16/24	CoC Project Evaluation Process     The following documents are due to <a href="mailto:ohioboscoc@cohhio.org">ohioboscoc@cohhio.org</a> Non-HMIS Projects submit APRs     Project SOPs for those required to submit or re-submit     Additional documents that will be evaluated for Housing First evidence and chronic homeless prioritization for those required to submit or re-submit     Client file documentation for two clients     Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity narratives     CE Compliance - Evidence of PH Prioritization meetings     Evidence of PLE Feedback

5/22/24	CoC Project Evaluation Process     Final Project Evaluation Data available in Rme
6/5/24	New Project Proposals and LOIs for the SSO-CE project application are due to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org
6/19/24	CoC Project Evaluation Process     COHHIO releases preliminary CoC project ranking (renewals only)     Projects not meeting 70% score threshold are notified
6/28/24	CoC Project Evaluation Process     If needed, recipients submit appeals of project evaluation results and ranking to ohioboscoc@cohhio.org
7/8/24	<ul> <li>Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee</li> <li>communicates decisions re: appeals</li> </ul>
7/15/24	Improvement Action Plans (IAPs) submitted to <a href="mailto:ohioboscoc@cohhio.org">ohioboscoc@cohhio.org</a> for those projects not meeting the 70% score threshold
TBD	Final CoC project ranking released     Includes renewal CoC projects only
TBD	FY2024 CoC Competition Opens
TBD	Project Applications Available in e-snaps
TBD	Ohio BoSCoC CoC Application Training
TBD	Renewal & Project Applications Due in e-snaps
TBD	New Project Proposals for new project opportunities not already addressed due to CoC team
TBD	Ohio BoSCoC Steering Committee Review of all Applications & Notification of Needed Corrections
TBD	Corrections by Applicants to Project Applications Due
TBD	Approved New Project Applications due in e-snaps
TBD	Final Ohio BoSCoC Project Applications Submitted to HUD via e-snaps (ODOD/COHHIO to complete)

### **APPENDIX A: FY2024 Renewal CoC Projects**

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
Alcohol, Drug Addiction & Mental Health			
Services Board of Tuscarawas and Carroll	Tuscarawas County TRA	PH-PSH	
Counties  Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Allen Shelter Plus Care Vouchers	PH-PSH	
Appleseed Community Mental Health Center,	Alleri Shelter Plus Care vouchers	PH-POH	
Inc.	Appleseed RRH	PH-RRH	
Ashtabula County Mental Health and Recovery	Ashtabula Shelter Plus Care Vouchers for	DI I DOLL	
Services Board	homeless persons with mental illness	PH-PSH	
Ashtabula County Mental Health and Recovery	Ashtabula CoC PSH Vouchers	PH-PSH	Υ
Services Board	DCLL Butley County	DU DOU	
Butler County	PSH Butler County	PH-PSH	
Butler County	Butler County PSH Expansion	PH-PSH	
City of Marietta, Ohio/PHA	Marietta/Washington Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
City of Springfield, Ohio	Springfield Shelter Plus Care (combined)	PH-PSH	
Coalition on Homelessness and Housing in Ohio	Homeless Management Information System	HMIS	Υ
Coleman Professional Services	Coleman PSH	PH-PSH	
Coleman Professional Services	Jefferson County Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Columbiana County Mental Health Clinic dba	Permanent Housing for Persons with	PH-PSH	
The Counseling Center	Disabilities		
Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbiana MHA Shelter Plus Care 1	PH-PSH	
Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbiana Free Choice II - The Counseling Center	PH-PSH	
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP TH	TH	Y
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP SSO	SSO	Y
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP RRH	PH-RRH	Y
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP CE	CE	Y
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Stable Futures	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Fayette Landing	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	CAC Permanent Supportive Housing (aka: Destination HOME)	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Fayette Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Empowerment Center*	TH-RRH	
Community Action Committee of Pike County	CAC of Pike County PSH	PH-PSH	Y
Community Action Committee of Pike County	CAC of Pike County RRH	PH-RRH	Y
Family & Community Services	Ravenna Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
Family & Community Services, Inc.	PATH 3 RRH	TH	
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Miami County Family RRH	PH-RRH	
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Family Abuse Shelter PSH	PH-PSH	

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Miami County SPC	PH-PSH	
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County, Inc.	Supportive Opportunity & Services*	TH	
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County, Inc.	Square One RRH*	PH-RRH	Y
Findlay Hope House for the Homeless, Inc	Able Housing	PH-PSH	
Geauga County Board of Mental Health & Recovery Services	SPC Geauga County TRA	PH-PSH	
Geauga County Board of Mental Health & Recovery Services	Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Homenet PSH Consolidated	PH-PSH	
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Homenet Permanent Supportive Housing Program - DV	PH-PSH	
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Rapid ReHousing Conversion	PH-RRH	
HM Housing Development Corp	Faith House II	PH-PSH	
Hocking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Hocking Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Athens Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Athens Serenity Village SAMI Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Charles Place and Graham Drive consolidated grants	PH-PSH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	YHDP RRH	PH-RRH	
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	MacArthur Gardens	PH-PSH	
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Springfield	Permanent Housing with Supportive Services	PH-PSH	
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Springfield	Saint Vincent House	PH-PSH	
Ironton Lawrence County Area CAO, Inc.	Lawrence County One-Stop TRA	TH	
Jefferson County Community Action Council	Supportive Housing Program	PH-PSH	
Kno-Ho-Co Ashland CAA	Knox County TRA	PH-PSH	
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board	Lake SPC Combined	PH-PSH	
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board	McKinley Grove	PH-PSH	
Lawrence County Port Authority	Lawrence One-Stop Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH DV Housing*	Joint TH- RRH	Y
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH Rapid Re-Housing	PH-RRH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH Transitional Housing	TH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Rapid Re-Housing Ohio	PH-RRH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Region 9 RRH	PH-RRH	
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH PSH Licking County	PH-PSH	
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care Chronic	PH-PSH	
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care Vouchers 2	PH-PSH	
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Licking Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Lorain County Board of Mental Health	Lorain Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Lutheran Social Services	Fairfield County S+C	PH-PSH	

Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	Excluded in Part or Whole from Project Evaluation b/c of Limited Data or Other Special Consideration?
Medina County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Board	Northland II	PH-PSH	
Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Medina County TRA	PH-PSH	
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Ashland County	Beginning Anew	PH-PSH	
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	I'm Home	PH-PSH	
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	Shelter Plus Care Union County	PH-PSH	
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Logan/Champaign Housing	PH-PSH	
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Family Housing	PH-PSH	
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Madriver/Park Street	PH-PSH	
New Housing Ohio, Inc.	Warren County Permanent Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
New Sunrise Properties, Inc.	Supportive Housing	PH-PSH	
Northwest Ohio Community Action Commission	NOCAC PSH	PH-PSH	
Ohio Domestic Violence Network	DV Bonus RRH Project for Ohio BoSCoC* (REACH 2.0)	PH-RRH	
Ohio Domestic Violence Network	ODVN RRH Project*	PH-PSH	Υ
OneEighty, Inc	PSH Plus Care	PH-PSH	
OneEighty, Inc	OneEighty & WMHA Collab PSH	PH-PSH	Y
Portage Metropolitan Housing Authority	Portage Shelter Plus Care	PH-PSH	
Preble County Mental Health and Recovery Board	Prestwick Square	PH-PSH	
Project Woman of Springfield and Clark County	Reign of Renewal*	PH-RRH	
Residential Administrators, Inc.	Residential Administrators PSH	PH-PSH	
Serve City, Inc.	MOVE PSH	PH-PSH	Y
Sojourners Care Network	Generation Now PSH	PH-PSH	
Sojourners Care Network	Youth Crisis Transitional Housing	TH	
Sojourners Care Network	Youth Crisis Response Team	SSO	
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Delaware County Permanent Supportive Housing for Families	PH-PSH	
Trumbull County Mental Health and Recovery Board	Joey's Landing	PH-PSH	
Trumbull County Mental Health and Recovery Board	Trumbull Shelter Plus Care Vouchers	PH-PSH	
Volunteers of America of Greater Ohio, Inc.	Crossroads Supportive Housing Program	TH	
Volunteers of America of Greater Ohio, Inc.	Almost Home	PH-RRH	
Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Bridges	PH-RRH	
Warren Metropolitan Housing Authority	Warren S+C	PH-PSH	
YWCA Hamilton	Goodman Place PSH	PH-PSH	
YWCA of Elyria	Women's Campus Project	TH	
YWCA of Elyria	Women In Secure Housing	PH-PSH	

or Other Special Consideration?
---------------------------------

<sup>\*</sup>These projects do not participate in HMIS. Recipients must submit an e-snaps APR to COHHIO in order to have performance evaluted and receive a project evaluation score.

Joint TH-Rapid Re-Housing Projects Reporting Period = 1/1/23-12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 50)		50	
Housing Stability			
	≥75%	13	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from TH to PH at exit	≥70% - <75%	10	HMIS & Rme
I A House of House hold out to all out of lange who more a hold in the count	≥65% - <70%	7	Tivilo a Tallo
	<65%	0	
	≥75% ≥70% - <74%	10 7.5	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from RRH to PH at exit	≥65% - <69%	5	HMIS & Rme
	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
	≥85%	10	
% adults who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health	≥80% - <85%	7.5	HMIS & Rme
insurance at exit	≥75% - <80%	5	Time a rune
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period	≥18%	2	LIMIO O Dave
or at program exit	≥13% - <18%	1	HMIS & Rme
Length of Time Homeless	<13%	0	
	≤200 days	10	
	-200 days		LIMIO O Dave
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: average length of stay in TH	>240 - ≤280 days		HMIS & Rme
	>280 days	0	
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
period (RRH program entry date to housing move-in date)	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 25)		25	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
	≥85%	5	
% adults who entered the project during the date range from streets/emergency shelter only	≥80% - <85% ≥75% - <80%	3	HMIS & Rme
	<75% - <00%	0	
	≥40%	10	
	≥37% - <40%	7.5	1 II II O O D
% adults who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥34% - <37%	5	HMIS & Rme
	<34%	0	
	4 - 7	5	
Median Homeless History Index score for adults who entered the project during the reporting period (Homeless	3	4	
History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	2	3	HMIS & Rme
	0	0	
	100%	5	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS	≤90% ->100%	2	HMIS & Rme
(excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		15	
Housing First Practices   Nemoved painers to Entry (list not exhausive)		10	
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry			
*Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry			Required program
*Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance		up to 5 points	documents submitted
*Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance			by grantee
*People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance			
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			Required program
*The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress o	n a housing plan	up to 5 points	documents submitted
*Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary	a nousing plan		by grantee
i distribution in parenting ordered, budgeting ordered, into are an voluntary		I	

Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			
			Required program
*Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns		up to 5 points	documents submitted
*To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desires			by grantee
If required program documents are not submitted, up to 10 points may be deducted		ma in	ua 10 mainta
from the overall project evaluation score.		mint	us 10 points
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Maximum	n Points = 5)	5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
proejct. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:			
1. The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data	show. If you used		
the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your project, provide	-		
tool as your reponse to #1.			
2. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for po	tential clients.		
This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homel			
system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Required narrative
3. What, if any, disparities were identified.		up to 5 points	submitted by grantee
4. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the p	lan and timeline		, 0
for taking those steps.			
5. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.			
**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your	project, provide		
the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.			
If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted			2
from the overall project evaluation score.		min	us 2 points
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
Standard Operating Procedures (P&P) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 25	Required program
- See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed		points	documents submitted
		ļ	by grantee
If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		mint	us 25 points
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20	Client Files
		points	
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted  from the overall project evaluation score		points	Client Files
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted		points	
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted		points <i>minu</i>	
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted  from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		points <i>minu</i>	
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors		points <i>minu</i>	
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted  from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors		points  minu	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted  from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors		10 5 4 3	
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors $0\% \text{ errors}$ $> 0\% \text{ and } \le 2\% \text{ errors}$ $> 2\% \text{ and } \le 5\% \text{ errors}$ $> 5\% \text{ and } \le 8\% \text{ errors}$		5 4 3 2	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors $0\% \text{ errors}$ $> 0\% \text{ and } \le 2\% \text{ errors}$ $> 2\% \text{ and } \le 5\% \text{ errors}$ $> 5\% \text{ and } \le 8\% \text{ errors}$ $> 8\% \text{ and } \le 10\% \text{ errors}$		5 4 3 2	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors $ 0\% \text{ errors} $ $ > 0\% \text{ errors} $ $ > 0\% \text{ and } \le 2\% \text{ errors} $ $ > 2\% \text{ and } \le 5\% \text{ errors} $ $ > 5\% \text{ and } \le 8\% \text{ errors} $ $ > 8\% \text{ and } \le 10\% \text{ errors} $ $ > 10\% \text{ errors} $		5 4 3 2	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors $0\% \text{ errors}$ $> 0\% \text{ errors}$ $> 0\% \text{ and } \le 2\% \text{ errors}$ $> 2\% \text{ and } \le 5\% \text{ errors}$ $> 5\% \text{ and } \le 8\% \text{ errors}$ $> 8\% \text{ and } \le 10\% \text{ errors}$ Incorporating PLE into Program Design		5 4 3 2	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors    10% errors	former clients into	5 4 3 2	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  > 10% errors  Incorporating PLE into Program Design  Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/fiprogram design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:	former clients into	5 4 3 2 1 0	us 20 points
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  > 10% errors  Incorporating PLE into Program Design  Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design	former clients into	5 4 3 2	HMIS & Rme
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors    Namative demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design 2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients	former clients into	5 4 3 2 1 0	HMIS & Rme
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors    Namative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback, with all PII redacted	former clients into	5 4 3 2 1 0	HMIS & Rme
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors    New rors		5 4 3 2 1 0	HMIS & Rme
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted  from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors    Namative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback, with all PII redacted	≥95% ≥90% - <95%	5 4 3 2 1 0	HMIS & Rme
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors    Namative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback, with all PII redacted	≥95% ≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90%	5 4 3 2 1 0 5 5	HMIS & Rme
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  Incorporating PLE into Program Design  Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/fprogram design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design  2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients  3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted  Grant Expenditures	≥95% ≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80%	5 4 3 2 1 0 5 0 5	HMIS & Rme  Evidence submitted by grantees
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score  Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)  HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  0% errors  > 0% errors  > 2% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  Incorporating PLE into Program Design  Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/fprogram design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design  2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients  3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted  Grant Expenditures	≥95% ≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90%	5 4 3 2 1 0 5 0 5	HMIS & Rme  Evidence submitted by grantees

Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to could be deducted	50 points total	up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

PSH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source & Where Available
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 25)		25	
Housing Stability			
% heads of household who were served in the date range and remained in project as of end of reporting period or	≥90% ≥85% - <90%	10 8	
exited to PH during the reporting period	≥80% - <85%	4	HMIS & Rme
	<80%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
0/ adult noticinants who entered the project during the data range with 1, course of non-cook honefite or health	≥85%	8	
% adult participants who entered the project during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥80% - <85% ≥75% - <80%	6 4	HMIS & Rme
induation at out	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period	≥30%	2	
or at program exit	≥24% -<30%	1	HMIS & Rme
or at program ont	<24%	0	
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
period	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 35)		35	
Serving Those with More Severe Needs and Longest Homeless Histories			
	≥85%	8	
% adult who entered project during the date range and came from streets/emergency shelter only	≥80% - <85%	6	HMIS & Rme
The same states project and angle are same assets and grant and gr	≥75% - <80% <75%	0	
	<75% ≥40%	7	
adult who entered project during the date range with no income	≥37% - <40%	5	HMIS & Rme
1/0 addit who entered project during the date range with no income	≥34% - <37%	3	Tilviio a ritie
	<34% 6 - 7	0 10	
	5	9	
Median Homeless History Index score for adult who entered project during the date range who entered during the reporting period (Homeless History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of	3 - 4	8	HMIS & Rme
homelessness)	2	5	HIVIIS & KITIE
Tiomolossinoss)	0	2	
	≥90%	5	
	≥75% - <90%	4	
% entries of long-term homeless entries into PSH for adult participants who entered the project during the reporting	≥50% - <75%	3	HMIS & Rme
period	≥30% - <50% ≥20% - <30%	2	6
	<20%	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS	100%	5	
(excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	≤90% ->100%	2	HMIS & Rme
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 25)		15	
Housing First Practices   Removed Barriers to Entry (list not exhaustive)			
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry			
*Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry			
*Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance		up to 5 points	Program Documents
*Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance			
*People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance			
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress o	n a housing plan	up to 5 points	Program Documents
*Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary			

*Primary goa	l of project is to	e (all the following practices must be documented and in place) o move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concems s and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and desin		up to 5 points	Program Documents
If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.			minus 10 points		
Prioritizing Ch	ronically Ho	meless		10	
		Priority for PSH Project Outlined in Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards (chronic d	edicated		
		minimum; they will receive the full 10 points if their P&P demonstrate full compliance with the		10	Program Documents
Order of Priorit	ty outlined in th	e Program Standards)			
	lf req	quired program documents are not submitted, 5 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		min	us 5 points
Analyzing	and Addre	ssing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Points =	5)	5	
Assessment	of Racial Disp	arities and Development of Action Plans			
		hould include the following at minimum:			
		ermine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data show			
		sparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your project, provide the	e completed		
tool as your re					
		sessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for potential			
		Ild involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homelessr		un to E nainta	Required narrative
1 1 '		with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.		up to 5 points	submitted by grantee
	y, disparities w		and timeline		
for taking thos		ed, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the plan a	and unlenne		
_	-	will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.			
		nt of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your proje	ect provide		
		se to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.	, provido		
		ne required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted		min	us 2 points
		from the overall project evaluation score.		111111	us z pomis
CoC Progr	am Compl	iance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	us z pomis
CoC Progr	-				us z pomis
SOP Complia	nce	iance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	us 2 points
SOP Complia	nce rating Procedur	iance (Maximum Points = 45) es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule	h grantees	45 up to 25	
SOP Complia	nce rating Procedur ogram Compliar	iance (Maximum Points = 45)	h grantees	45	Program Documents
SOP Complia Standard Oper	nce rating Procedur ogram Compliar submit SOPs	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted	h grantees	up to 25 points	Program Documents
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to	nce rating Procedur ogram Compliar submit SOPs	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which	h grantees	up to 25 points	
SOP Complia Standard Oper	nce rating Procedur ogram Compliar submit SOPs	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted	h grantees	up to 25 points	Program Documents
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to Client Docum	nce rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted	h grantees	up to 25 points  minut	Program Documents
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to Client Docum	nce rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.	h grantees	up to 25 points	Program Documents
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to Client Docum	nce rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule	h grantees	up to 25 points  minute up to 20 points	Program Documents
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to  Client Docum Submitted clie	nce rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.	h grantees	up to 25 points  minute up to 20 points	Program Documents us 25 points Client Files
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to Client Docum Submitted clie Coordinate	nce rating Procedur ogram Compliar submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  from the overall project evaluation score.  compliance (Maximum Points = 5)	h grantees	up to 25 points  minute up to 20 points	Program Documents us 25 points Client Files
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produced to Client Docum Submitted clie Coordinate Prioritizion W	nce rating Procedur ogram Compliar submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include ed Entry Co	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  from the overall project evaluation score.  compliance (Maximum Points = 5)		up to 25 points  minute up to 20 points	Program Documents  us 25 points  Client Files
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Coordinate Prioritizion W Project staff potent process of	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc nly. Evidence of	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Dimpliance (Maximum Points = 5) dence all Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written	ation through	up to 25 points  minute up to 20 points	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Coordinate Prioritizion W Project staff potent process of	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc nly. Evidence of	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Impliance (Maximum Points = 5)  dence al Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz	ation through	up to 25 points  minu up to 20 points  minu 5	Program Documents  us 25 points  Client Files
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Coordinate Prioritizion W Project staff potent process of confirmation from the confirmation of t	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evidenticipate in loc only. Evidence of om Prioritizatio	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Dimpliance (Maximum Points = 5) dence all Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written	ation through	up to 25 points  minu up to 20 points  minu 5	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Coordinate Prioritizion W Project staff potent process of confirmation from the confirmation of t	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc only. Evidence of om Prioritizatio onal Capac	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Impliance (Maximum Points = 5)  dence all Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written Workgroup members, etc.	ation through	up to 25 points  minut  up to 20 points  f	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Proper are required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Coordinate Prioritizion W Project staff porting that process of confirmation from the complex of the confirmation from the	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc only. Evidence of om Prioritizatio onal Capac	es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nuired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  In proper of the overall project evaluation score.  In proper of the coverall project evaluation workgroup meeting notes, written workgroup members, etc.  In proper of the coverall project evaluation workgroup meeting notes, written workgroup members, etc.  In proper of the coveral project evaluation workgroup meeting notes, written workgroup members, etc.  In proper of the coveral project evaluation workgroup meeting notes, written workgroup members, etc.	ation through	up to 25 points  winter  up to 20 points  final	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Prioritizion W Project staff path that process of confirmation from the confirmation from	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evidence of om Prioritizatio onal Capac uality	es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which wired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  In printization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written Workgroup members, etc.    Owerors   Owerors	ation through	up to 25 points  minut  up to 20 points  5  5  4	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Prioritizion W Project staff point that process of confirmation from the confirmation from	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc om Prioritizatio onal Capac uality	es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nce In the overall project evaluation score.  It required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  In the overall project evaluation score.  In the overall project evaluation workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritization workgroup meeting notes, written workgroup members, etc.  In the overall project evaluation workgroup meeting notes, written workgroup members, etc.    Owerrors	ation through	up to 25 points  minut  up to 20 points  5  5  4  3	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Prioritizion W Project staff path that process of confirmation from the confirmation from	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc om Prioritizatio onal Capac uality	es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nuired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  In prince (Maximum Points = 5)  dence al Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written Workgroup members, etc.    Owerors	ation through	up to 25 points  minu  up to 20 points  5  5  4  3 2	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted by grantees
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Prioritizion W Project staff point that process of confirmation from the confirmation from	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc om Prioritizatio onal Capac uality	iance (Maximum Points = 45)  es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which  uired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  In printization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written Workgroup members, etc.    Owerors	ation through	45  up to 25 points  minu  up to 20 points  5  5  10  5  4  3  2  1	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted by grantees
SOP Complia Standard Oper - See CoC Produce required to  Client Docum Submitted clie  Prioritizion W Project staff point that process of confirmation from the confirmation from	rating Procedur ogram Complian submit SOPs  If req entation ent files include orkgroup Evi articipate in loc om Prioritizatio onal Capac uality	es (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nce Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which nuired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  e all required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  In prince (Maximum Points = 5)  dence al Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritiz of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written Workgroup members, etc.    Owerors	ation through	up to 25 points  minu  up to 20 points  5  5  4  3 2	Program Documents  Us 25 points  Client Files  Us 20 points  Evidence submitted by grantees

Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/former clients into program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design  2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients  3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted		5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures			
	≥95%	0	
	≥90% -<95%	-5	
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥80% -<90%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info
	≥70% -<80%	-15	
	<70%	-20	
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to 50 points total could be deducted		up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

Rapid Re-Housing Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23-12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 40)		40	
Housing Stability			
	≥75%	13	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from RRH to PH at exit	≥70% - <74%	9	HMIS & Rme
	≥65% - <69%	6	
I Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income	<65%	U	
Nocessing Mainstream (Cesources and Income	≥85%	10	
% adults who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health	≥80% - <85%	7.5	HMIS & Rme
insurance at exit	≥75% - <80%	5	TIIVIIO & MITE
	<75%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period	≥18%	2	
or at program exit	≥13% - <18%	1 HMIS & Rm	
	<13% 0		
Length of Time Assisted			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: Average length of stay in RRH	≤24 months	10	HMIS & Rme
Rapid Placement into Housing		_	
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the reporting	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
period	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 35)		35	
Targeting Hard to Serve	> 050/	40	
	≥85% ≥80% - <85%	10 7.5	
% adults who entered the project during the date range from streets/emergency shelter only	≥75% - <80%	7.5 5	HMIS & Rme
	<75%	0	1
	≥40%	10	
% adults who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥37% - <40%	7.5	HMIS & Rme
The additis with entered the project duffing the date range with no moonie	≥34% - <37%	5	TIIVIIO & TAITIE
	<34%	0	
	4 - 7	10 8	1
Median Homeless History Index score for adults who entered the project during the reporting period (Homeless	2	7	HMIS & Rme
History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	1	5	
	0	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS	100%	5	
(excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	≤90% ->100%	2	HMIS & Rme
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)	<90%	0	
Housing First Practices		15	
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry			Required program
*Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry  *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance		up to 5 points	documents submitted
*Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance		-   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	by grantee
*People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance			, , , , , , , , ,
1 sopio mini giodio nosas dis pristinaziones del assistantes			Danie danaman
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)		un to E nainta	Required program documents submitted
*The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress	on a housing plan	up to 5 points	by grantee
*Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary			by grantee
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			Required program
*Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns		up to 5 points	documents submitted
*To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and of	iesires		by grantee
			<u> </u>
If required program documents are not submitted, up to 10 points may be deducted			us 10 points

Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Maxim	um Points = 5)	5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans	moreted for this		
proejct. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:  1. The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the dathe Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your project, protool as your reponse to #1.  2. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced hor system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.  3. What, if any, disparities were identified.  4. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the for taking those steps.  5. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.  **If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.	potential clients. nelessness in your e plan and timeline	up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		min	us 2 points
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance			
Standard Operating Procedures (P&P) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed		up to 25 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minu	us 25 points
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		mint	us 20 points
Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors		E	
0% errors > 0% and ≤ 2% errors		5 4	
> 2% and ≤ 5% errors		3	HMIS & Rme
> 5% and ≤ 8% errors		2	
> 8% and ≤ 10% errors		1	
> 10% errors		0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			
Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and curre program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design  2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients  3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted	nt/former clients into	5	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures	≥95%	0	
% of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80%	-5 -10 -15 -20	HUD eLOCCS Info
% of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , upon to be deducted	o to 50 points total	up to -40 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

TH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 38)		38	
Housing Stability			
	≥75%	16	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from TH to PH at exit	≥70% - <75%	11	HMIS & Rme
<b>3</b>	≥65% - <70%	6	
Accessing Mainetream Resources and Income	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income	≥85%	10	
% adults who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health	≥80% - <85%	7.5	
insurance at exit	≥75% - <80%	5	HMIS & Rme
	<75%	0	
	>000/	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting period	≥28% ≥22% - <28%	2	HMIS & Rme
or at program exit	<22%	0	
	1 2270	<u> </u>	
Length of Time Homeless	<200 days	10	
	≤200 days >200 - ≤240 days	10 7.5	
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: average length of stay in TH	>240 - ≤280 days	5	HMIS & Rme
	>280 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 37)		37	
Targeting Hard to Serve		01	
	≥75%	12	
	≥71% - <75%	9	LIMIC 9 Dags
% adults who entered the project during the date range from streets/emergency shelter only	≥67% - <71%	6	HMIS & Rme
	<67%		
	≥30%	10	
% adult who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥27% - <30% ≥24% - <27%	7.5	HMIS & Rme
	<24%	5 0	
	4 - 7	10	
Madica Harriaga History Index according to adults who extend the project during the recording point (Harriaga	3	8	
Median Homeless History Index score for adults who entered the project during the reporting period (Homeless History Index is based on number of past homeless episodes and total duration of homelessness)	2	7	HMIS & Rme
History index is based on number of past nomeress episodes and total duration of nomeressness)	1	5	
	0	0	
% head of households who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS	100%	5	LIMIC 9 Dags
(excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	≤90% - >100% <90%	0	HMIS & Rme
Involved the Deather Continue of the Deather Continue	N 190 / 10	U	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
Housing First Practices		15	
Removed Bamers to Entry (list not exhaustive)  *Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry  *Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry  *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance  *Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance  *People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance		up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress o  *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary	n a housing plan	up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concerns  *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and de-	esires	up to 5 points	Required program documents submitted by grantee
If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minu	us 10 points
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Maximum	Points = 5)	5	

Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans			
	•		
proejct. Narrative response should include the following at minimum:	- h		
1. The data reviewed to determine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data	•		
the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your project, provi	ide the completed		
tool as your reponse to #1.			
2. Description of how you assessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for po			
This type of assessment could involve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced home	elessness in your		Required narrative
system, and/or discussions with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.		up to 5 points	submitted by grantee
3. What, if any, disparities were identified.			, ,
4. If disparities were identified, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the	plan and timeline		
for taking those steps.			
5. Describe how the project will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.			
**If you used the Assessment of Racial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in you	r project, provide		
the completed tool in response to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.			
If the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted		min	us 2 points
from the overall project evaluation score.		45	
CoC Program Compliance (Maximum Points = 45)  SOP Compliance		45	
T ·			Required program
Standard Operating Procedures (P&P) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 25	documents submitted
- See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed		points	by grantee
If required program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted		,	
from the overall project evaluation score.		mini	us 25 points
Client Documentation			
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20	Client Files
		points	Cheffi Files
If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted		min	us 20 points
<u>from the overall project evaluation score.</u> Organizational Capacity (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
<u> </u>		10	
HMIS Data Quality			
% of HMIS client records with errors			
0% errors		5	
> 0% and ≤ 2% errors		4	1 IN 410 0 D
> 2% and ≤ 5% errors		3	HMIS & Rme
> 5% and ≤ 8% errors		2	
> 8% and ≤ 10% errors		1	
> 10% errors		0	
Incorporating PLE into Program Design			
Project demonstrates inclusion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current	/former clients into		
program design. Grantees must provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:			Evidence submitted
			by grantees
1. Narrative describing how PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design		5	שא אומוונככט
2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients		Ŭ	, ,
Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients     Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted		ŭ	, 0
2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients			7.0
Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients     Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted	≥95%	0	, , ,
Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients     Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted     Grant Expenditures	≥90% -<95%	0 -5	
Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients     Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90%	0 -5 -10	HUD eLOCCS Info
Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients     Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted     Grant Expenditures	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80%	0 -5 -10 -15	
2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients 3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted  Grant Expenditures  % of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90%	0 -5 -10	
Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients     Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted     Grant Expenditures	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80% <70%	0 -5 -10 -15 -20	HUD eLOCCS Info
2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients 3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted  Grant Expenditures  % of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term  % of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term  Timely Submission of APRs	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80% <70% <80%	0 -5 -10 -15 -20 -10	HUD eLOCCS Info
2. Blank survey tool used to collect feedback from current/former clients 3. Survey tool from CY2023 completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted  Grant Expenditures  % of FY21 grant funds expended at end of grant term  % of FY20 grant funds expended at end of grant term	≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80% <70% <80%	0 -5 -10 -15 -20	HUD eLOCCS Info

Youth TH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 38)		55	
Housing Stability			
4	≥40% ≥35% - <40%	25	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from TH to PH at exit	≥30% - <35%	20 15	HMIS & Rme
<u>-</u>	<30%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
	≥85%	10	
% youth who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health insurance at exit	≥80% - <85% ≥75% - <80%	7.5 5	HMIS & Rme
insulance at exit	<75%	0	
	≥10%	E	
% youth participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting	≥7% -<10%	5 3	HMIS & Rme
period or at program exit		0	
Length of Time Homeless			
	≤240 days	15	
Thouseholds who moved into the project and exited during the date range: average length of stay in TH	>240 - ≤260 days	10	HMIS & Rme
- Thousand who moved the project and extend during the date large, average length of stay in 111	>260 - ≤280 days	7	TIMIO G TUTO
	>280 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
Targeting Hard to Serve	2007	45	
	≥30% ≥27% - <30%	15 10	
% youth who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥24% - <27%	7	HMIS & Rme
	<24%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
Housing First Practices		15	
Removed Barners to Entry (list not exhaustive)			
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry			
*Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry  *Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance		up to 5 points	Program
*Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance			Documents
*People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance			
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress on a housing plan  *Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary		up to 5 points	Program Documents
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			D
*Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concern		up to 5 points	Program Documents
*To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and	desires		Documents
If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	10 points
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Po	oints = 5 )	5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans	<b>.</b> 0 /	· ·	
prosessinent of radial dispanties and development of radion rights			

1. The data reviewed to det the Assessment of Racial D tool as your reponse to #1. 2. Description of how you a type of assessment could in system, and/or discussions 3. What, if any, disparities 4. If disparities were identifitating those steps. 5. Describe how the project **If you used the Assessment the completed tool in response.	ied, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the part will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.  In the fraction of the provide of the provide your assessment of the provides in your assessment of the provides and the provide of the provides of the provides of the provide of the provides of the provide of the provides	de the completed tential clients. This ess in your lan and timeline for	up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
<i>If</i>	the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	2 points
CoC Broarem Comp			A.F.	
	liance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
	res (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule ance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine	which grantees are	up to 25 points	Program Documents
lf re	quired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted		minus	25 points
	from the overall proiect evaluation score.			7
Client Documentation Submitted client files includ	e all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
	If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	20 points
Organizational Capa	city (Maximum Points = 10)		10	
HMIS Data Quality	,			
	0% errors		5	
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors		4	
% of HMIS client records	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors		3	Rme
with errors	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors		2	Tane
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors		1	
	> 10% errors		0	
Incorporating PLE into Pro	ogram Design			
Project demonstrates inclus program design. Grantees m 1. Narrative describing how 2. Blank survey tool used to 3. Survey tool from CY2023	ion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current/foust provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback: PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design to collect feedback from current/former clients completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted	former clients into	10	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures	Т	≥95%	0	
	<u></u>	≥90% - <95%	-5	
% of FY21 grant funds expe	nded at end of grant term	≥80% - <90%	-10	HUD eLOCCS
		≥70% - <80%	-15	Info
		<70%	-20	
% of FY20 grant funds expe		<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APF				
Overdue or rejected APRs s could be deducted	ince 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to	50 points total	up to -50 pts	SAGE
YHDP Priority Points			10	
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE	E. (Maximum Points = 150)	100	150	
TOTAL FROJECT SCORE	-  maximum Fumto = 130)	100	130	

Youth Rapid Re-Housing Projects Reporting Period = 1/1/23-12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Data Source
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 42)		45	
Housing Stability	<b>&gt;7</b> E0/	45	
-	≥75% ≥70% - <74%	15 12	
% heads of household served in the date range who moved from RRH to PH at exit	≥65% - <69%	10	HMIS & Rme
	<65%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
	≥85%	10	
% youth who moved into the project and exited during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health	≥80% -<85%	7.5	HMIS & Rme
insurance at exit	≥75% -<80%	5	TIMIO & TATIO
	<75%	0	
% youth participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting	≥18%	2	
period or at program exit	≥13% - <18%	1	HMIS & Rme
period of at program exit	<13%	0	
Length of Time Assisted			
Households who moved into the project and exited during the date range: Average length of stay in RRH	≤24 months	13	HMIS & Rme
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the	≤30 days	5	
reporting period	>30 days	0	HMIS & Rme
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 15)		15	
Targeting Hard to Serve			
	≥40%	10	
% youth who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥37% - <40%	7.5	HMIS & Rme
76 youth who entered the project during the date range with no income	≥34% -<37%	5	TIMIS & RITE
	<34%	0	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS	100%	5	HMIS & Rme
(excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	≤90% ->100%	2	
	<90%	0	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 15)			
Housing First Practices The Hoved Dalmers to Linux (IIST HOT EXTRAUSINE)		15	
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry			
*Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry			Drogram
*Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance		up to 5 points	Program Documents
*Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance			Documents
*People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance			
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			Drawer
*The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progress	s on a housing plan	up to 5 points	Program
*Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary	on a nousing plan		Documents
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			
*Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concern	9	up to 5 points	Program
*To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and		up to o points	Documents
If required program documents are not submitted, up to 10 points may be deducted		,	40
from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	10 points
Analyzing and Addressing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Po	oints = 5)	5	
Assessment of Racial Disparities and Development of Action Plans	<del>-</del> /	_	
1. 20000. The reader Disparition and Dovolopmont of reader 1 and			

proejct. Narrative responses  1. The data reviewed to de the Assessment of Racial D tool as your reponse to #1.  2. Description of how you a type of assessment could ir system, and/or discussions  3. What, if any, disparities  4. If disparities were identif taking those steps.  5. Describe how the project	scribes the assessment of racial disparities and action pran development that you come should include the following at minimum: termine if racial disparities exist in program enrollments or outcomes, and what the data isparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your project, proversessed your project to determine what programmatic barriers to entry might exist for provolve surveys of past clients, interviews with people who have experienced homeless with direct service staff to identify possible barriers.  Were identified.  It will conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.	show. If you used ide the completed obtential clients. This ness in your	up to 5 points	Required narrative submitted by grantee
	the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	2 points
CoC Program Comp	liance (Maximum Points = 45)		45	
SOP Compliance				
	ares (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule ance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine	which grantees are	up to 25 points	Program Documents
lf re	quired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	25 points
Client Documentation				
	e all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files
	If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	20 points
Organizational Capa	city (Maximum Points = 15)		15	
HMIS Data Quality	,			
	0% errors		5	
	> 0% and ≤ 2% errors		4	
% of HMIS client records	> 2% and ≤ 5% errors		3	Rme
with errors	> 5% and ≤ 8% errors		2	
	> 8% and ≤ 10% errors		1	
	> 10% errors		0	
Incorporating PLE into Pr				
program design. Grantees m 1. Narrative describing how 2. Blank survey tool used to	ion of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current just provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback: PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design o collect feedback from current/former clients completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted	former clients into	10	Evidence submitted by grantees
Grant Expenditures				
		≥95%	0	
0/ - 5 5 7 7 0 4	adad at and at amount to an	≥90% - <95%	-5 10	HUD eLOCCS
% of FY21 grant funds expe	nded at end of grant term	≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80%	-10 -15	Info
		<70%	-20	
% of FY20 grant funds expe	nded at end of grant term	<80%	-10	HUD eLOCCS Info
Timely Submission of APF	Rs			
	ince 2019. Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction, up	o 50 points total	up to -50 pts	SAGE
YHDP Priority Points			10	
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE	E (Maximum Points = 150)		150	
				-

Youth PSH Projects

Reporting Period = 1/1/23 - 12/31/23

Project Evaluation Item	Goal	Points Possible	Where
Project Participant Impact (Maximum Points = 30)		30	
Housing Stability			
	≥90%	12	
% heads of household who were served in the date range and remained in project as of end of reporting period or	≥85% -<90%	10	HMIS & Rme
exited to PH during the reporting period	≥80% - <85%	8	Tivilo a rano
	<80%	0	
Accessing Mainstream Resources and Income			
	≥85%	8	
% adult participants who entered the project during the date range with 1+ source of non-cash benefits or health	≥80% - <85% ≥75% - <80%	6 4	HMIS & Rme
insurance at exit	<75% - <00%	0	
% adult participants who gained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the reporting	≥14% ≥10% - <14%	5 3	HMIS & Rme
period or at program exit	<10%	0	Tivile a rane
	1070	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Rapid Placement into Housing			
Average length of time it takes to move households from homelessness into permanent housing during the	≤30 days	5	HMIS & Rme
reporting period	>30 days	0	
Meeting Community Need (Maximum Points = 27)		30	
Serving Those with More Severe Needs and Longest Homeless Histories			I
Serving Those with wore severe needs and congest nomeless histories	≥85%	15	
	≥80% - <85%	13	
% youth who entered project during the date range and came from streets, TH, emergency shelter only	≥75% - <80%	10	HMIS & Rme
	<75%	0	<u> </u>
	≥40%	10	
% youth who entered project during the date range with no income	≥37% - <40%	8	HMIS & Rme
g	≥34% - <37%	6	
	<34% 100%	0 5	
% heads of household who entered the project during the date range and had a VI-SPDAT recorded in HMIS	≤90% ->100%	2	HMIS & Rme
(excludes clients for whom a current episode of DV was reported or who reported as currently fleeing)	<90%	0	Tivilo a rano
Implementing Deet Dreetiese (M. 1		15	
Implementing Best Practices (Maximum Points = 25)		15	
Housing First Practices Removed Barriers to Entry (list not exhaustive)			
*Minimum income and/or employment not required at entry			
*Sobriety and/or drug testing not required for entry			Program
*Past interaction with homeless services is not a reason for denial of assistance		up to 5 points	Documents
*Only violent and very recent criminal histories may be a reason for denial of assistance			Documents
*People with greater needs are prioritized for assistance			
Voluntary Supportive Services (all the following practices must be documented and in place)		un to E nainta	Program
*The only requirement is participation in basic case management for purposes of developing and making progres	ss on a housing plan	up to 5 points	Documents
*Destriction in according along a body time along AA ( ) U. 1. (			
*Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary			Program
*Participation in parenting classes, budgeting classes, AA, etc are all voluntary  Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)			Program
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concern		up to 5 points	Documents
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concentation the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and		up to 5 points	Documents
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concern			Documents  10 points
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concentation the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and in the services are program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.			
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concentance.  *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and in the frequired program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Prioritizing Chronically Homeless	d desires	minus	10 points
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concen  *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and  If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Prioritizing Chronically Homeless  Program folllows the Order of Priority for PSH Project Outlined in Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards (ch	d desires	minus	10 points Program
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concen  *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and  If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Prioritizing Chronically Homeless  Program folllows the Order of Priority for PSH Project Outlined in Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards (ch projects will receive 5 points minimum; they will receive the full 10 points if their P&P demonstrate full compliance.	d desires	minus	10 points
Housing Focused Assistance (all the following practices must be documented and in place)  *Primary goal of project is to move people into permanent housing, regardless of other personal issues or concen  *To the extent able, services and assistance are provided in an individualized manner based on client needs and  If required program documents are not submitted, 10 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.  Prioritizing Chronically Homeless  Program folllows the Order of Priority for PSH Project Outlined in Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards (ch	d desires	<i>minus</i> 10	10 points Program

Analyzing and Addre	ssing Racial Disparities and Advancing Race Equity (Max Point	ts = 5 )	5		
Assessment of Racial Dis	sparities and Development of Action Plans				
1. The data reviewed to det the Assessment of Racial Ditool as your reponse to #1. 2. Description of how you a type of assessment could in system, and/or discussions 3. What, if any, disparities w. 4. If disparities were identifitaking those steps. 5. Describe how the project **If you used the Assessment the completed tool in response.	ed, describe the action steps the project will take to address those disparities and the partial conduct disparities analyses on an ongoing, regular basis.  In the fracial Disparities Template to guide your assessment of racial dispartities in your see to #1 above AND provide a narrative response for items #2 - #5.	de the completed otential clients. This ness in your olan and timeline for	up to 5 points	Required namative submitted by grantee	
lf :	the required narratives are not provided, up to 2 points may be deducted from the overall project evaluation score.		minus	2 points	
CoC Program Comp			45		
SOP Compliance	iance (Maximum Points = 45)		40		
SOP Compliance  Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule - See CoC Program Compliance Checklist for details of what will be reviewed, and review Appendices to determine which grantees are required to submit SOPs		up to 25 points	Program Documents		
If re-	quired program documents are not submitted, 25 points may be deducted		minus	25 points	
Client Documentation	from the overall proiect evaluation score.				
Submitted client files include all required documentation and demonstrate compliance with CoC Program Rule		up to 20 points	Client Files		
	If required documents are not submitted, 20 points may be deducted		minus 20 points		
Coordinated Entry C	ompliance (Maximum Points = 5)		5		
Prioritizion Workgroup Ev			0		
Project staff participate in local Prioritization Workgroup meetings/processes and identify eligible households for prioritization through that process only. Evidence of CE compliant prioritization process includes Prioritization Workgroup meeting notes, written confirmation from Prioritization Workgroup members, etc.		5	Evidence submitted by		
Organizational Casa				grantees	
porganizational Capat	city (Maximum Points = 10)		10	grantees	
HMIS Data Quality			10	grantees	
	0% errors		5	grantees	
HMIS Data Quality	0% errors > 0% and ≤ 2% errors		5 4	grantees	
HMIS Data Quality % of HMIS client records	0% errors > 0% and ≤ 2% errors > 2% and ≤ 5% errors		5 4 3	grantees Rme	
HMIS Data Quality	0% errors > 0% and ≤ 2% errors > 2% and ≤ 5% errors > 5% and ≤ 8% errors		5 4 3 2		
HMIS Data Quality % of HMIS client records	0% errors > 0% and ≤ 2% errors > 2% and ≤ 5% errors		5 4 3		
HMIS Data Quality % of HMIS client records with errors	0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  > 10% errors		5 4 3 2 1		
HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  Incorporating PLE into Pro Project demonstrates inclusi program design. Grantees m 1. Narrative describing how 2. Blank survey tool used to 3. Survey tool from CY2023	0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  > 10% errors	former clients into	5 4 3 2 1		
HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  Incorporating PLE into Pro Project demonstrates inclusi program design. Grantees m 1. Narrative describing how 2. Blank survey tool used to	0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 5% and ≤ 10% errors  > 10% errors  > 10% errors  orgram Design  on of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current just provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design collect feedback from current/former clients		5 4 3 2 1 0	Rme  Evidence submitted by	
HMIS Data Quality  % of HMIS client records with errors  Incorporating PLE into Pro Project demonstrates inclusi program design. Grantees m 1. Narrative describing how 2. Blank survey tool used to 3. Survey tool from CY2023	0% errors  > 0% and ≤ 2% errors  > 2% and ≤ 5% errors  > 5% and ≤ 8% errors  > 8% and ≤ 10% errors  > 10% errors  > 10% errors  orgram Design  on of feedback from People with Lived Experience (PLE) of homelessness and current out provide the following to demonstrate inclusion of PLE feedback:  PLE and/or current/former client feedback is included in program review and design collect feedback from current/former clients completed by current/former client to provide feedback, with all PII redacted	≥95% ≥90% - <95% ≥80% - <90% ≥70% - <80% <70%	5 4 3 2 1 0	Rme  Evidence submitted by	

Timely Submission of APRs			
Overdue or rejected APRs since 2019. <i>Each overdue or rejected APR will result in a 10 point deduction</i> , up to 50 points total could be deducted		up to -50 pts	SAGE
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE (Maximum Points = 150)		150	

# APPENDIX C: HMIS Data Quality Information for the CoC Project Evaluation Process

The Ohio BoSCoC Competition tab in R minor elevated (Rme) only looks at Clients with an Issue Type of "Error" or "High Priority", except for "Overlapping Entry Exit", which is a High Priority issue but doesn't impact the project evaluation process. The report will not count any Issue Types of "Warning" against the provider or project.

HMIS users should ensure their Data Quality in Rme is as free of High Priority issues and Errors (minus Overlaps) prior to preliminary and final project evaluation data being posted in Rme. HMIS users should be sure they have their Data Quality clear of Missing Data (except for Destination) including Income, Non-Cash, Health Insurance, Disabilities, Duplicate Entry/Exits, Incorrect Entry/Exit Types, Children Only Households, and Missing Head of Households.

#### **Scoring HMIS Data Quality**

A maximum of five (5) points are available for the HMIS Data Quality item in the project evaluation process. The breakdown of those five points is as follows:

Add the number of Clients with either an Issue Type of "High Priority" (minus Overlapping Entry Exits) or "Error" and divide that sum by the total number of clients served during 2021:

- If the agency has 0%, they will get all 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 0% and up to 2%, the agency will get 4 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 2% and up to 5%, the agency will get 3 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 5% and up to 8%, the agency will get 2 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 8% and up to 10%, the agency will get 1 of the 5 points.
- If the agency has greater than 10%, the agency will get 0 of the 5 points.

#### **Clients Served:**

Includes all clients served during the reporting period, including those who entered a RRH or PSH project but never moved in.

# APPENDIX D: Implementing Best Practices Detail for the Project Evaluation Process

Renewing CoC projects are evaluated on implementation of Housing First practices and prioritizing Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds for the chronically homeless. This appendix provides pertinent information related to what projects/recipients need to understand, have in place, and submit in order to be considered for those points.

Failure to submit required program documents will result in points being deducted from the project evaluation score.

#### **Housing First Practices**

Housing First is a model of housing assistance that centers on providing people experiencing homelessness with housing as quickly as possible, and then providing services as needed. Assistance is offered without preconditions or service participation requirements. Implementation of Housing First practices is required for all CoC funded projects in the Ohio BoSCoC. You can find the Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards, which outline these requirements, here: https://cohhio.org/boscoc/gov-pol/#documents

Housing First projects share critical elements:

- A focus on helping individuals and families access and sustain permanent housing as quickly as possible without time limits.
- A variety of services delivered to promote housing stability and individual well-being on an as-needed basis; client participation in these services is voluntary.
- A standard lease agreement housing is not contingent on compliance with services
  - Although standard leases between program participants and landlords may not exist for TH projects, some kind of occupancy or sub-occupancy agreement must be in place, at minimum
- A focus on reducing barriers to project entry, including:
  - Sobriety is not a requirement upon entry
  - o Drug testing is not required upon entry
  - Minimum income level is not required upon entry
  - Employment is not required upon entry

When evaluating for Housing First practices implementation, CoC staff will review program documents for evidence of the following:

#### **Low Barriers to Entry**

- Project admission is not contingent on pre-requisites such as abstinence of substances, minimum income, health/mental health history, medication adherence, criminal justice record (within reason), financial history, completion of treatment, participation in services, 'housing readiness', or other unnecessary conditions unless required by law.
- Past clients of homeless programs are not denied assistance based on past usage of services (unless required by law)
- Project admissions process is not overly burdensome to clients by requiring, for example, completion of unnecessary paperwork, or sharing of applicant documents/information that are neither required by HUD nor directly relevant to project eligibility or prioritization efforts
- Project has policies that outline some method for prioritizing for assistance those persons with greater levels of need and/or greater barriers

#### Provision of Services that are Individualized and Voluntary

- Participation in supportive services (other than regular case management for purposes of ongoing needs assessment and housing plan development/progress) is voluntary, and access to housing is not contingent on compliance with services or a treatment plan
- Service planning is individualized and driven by client needs and desires

#### **Housing Focused**

 Project intake processes and general policies and procedures are focused on moving applicants/clients into housing as guickly as possible

- The overall focus of the project is on helping clients obtain and move into housing quickly, and providing supports and services to help them retain housing
- All services offered/provided are first and foremost centered around helping clients obtain and retain housing
- Leases and occupancy agreements do not include provisions that would not be found in a standard rental agreement

### **Reasonable Termination of Assistance Policies**

Project avoids involuntary termination of assistance to clients whenever possible. This includes having
policies in place that require the project to make multiple attempts to try to engage clients and/or provide
ongoing assistance

### **Prioritizing PSH Beds for Chronically Homeless**

Prioritizing Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds for chronically homeless persons means that the PSH project is actively assessing for and documenting chronic homeless status and that the project places those chronically homeless persons into their PSH beds as a priority before other eligible but non-chronically homeless persons, following the required Coordinated Entry process. You can find the Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Program Standards, which outline the requirements to prioritize chronically homeless households, here: https://cohhio.org/boscoc/gov-pol/#documents

PSH projects that are prioritizing chronically homeless persons within their project have the following policies and processes in place:

- Assess for chronic homeless status during intake
  - Collect information on length of time on the streets, in emergency shelter, hotel/motel paid for by an agency or faith-based voucher, or safe haven
  - Collect information about disability status
- Document chronic homeless status
  - Collect third-party written verification of previous homeless episodes that contribute to the length of time homeless determination
    - Or, if self-certification or other types of documentation are used, provide evidence of due diligence to collect third party written verification of previous homeless episodes
  - Collect documentation of disability status that confirms the disability is of long-continuing or indefinite duration
- Have policies and procedures in place that outline how chronic homelessness is appropriately documented and how chronically homeless persons are prioritized and placed into PSH beds, following the Coordinated Entry prioritization process.

# APPENDIX E: Homeless History Index Detail for the CoC Project Evaluation Process

The Ohio BoSCoC created the Homeless History Index as a means to evaluate projects on how well they are prioritizing client households with longer homeless histories and/or more episodes of homelessness. The Homeless History Index looks at the Number of Times Homeless, Number of Months Homeless, and Approximate Date Homelessness Started data elements to determine the median index score. The scores range from 1 for first time homeless, to 7, which would indicates a chronic or long-term homeless household. The total Homeless History Index score reflects the median homeless history index score for every Head of Household that entered a project during the reporting period.

In order for this index to be a meaningful measure of the homeless histories of the households you house, please be sure that your HMIS data is clear of any household issues and that their assessment data is being updated to account for changes to their situation since the last time they were assessed in HMIS. When the Length of Time Homeless questions are already filled in it is easy to assume they are correct, but the household may have experienced another episode of homelessness that is not being accounted for. It is important that all assessment questions are updated if anything changed since the household's last assessment in HMIS.

Please see *Appendix B: Project Evaluation Specifications and Points Structure* for detailed information about how the median Homeless History Index scores is evaluated.

			Approximate Date > 1 year
Score	▼ Number Times Homeless	▼ Number Months Homeless	previous to now  ▼
5	Missing	12; More than 12 months (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
6	One time (HUD)	12; More than 12 months (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
6	Two times (HUD);Three times (HUD)	12; More than 12 months (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
7	Four or more times (HUD)	12; More than 12 months (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
2	Missing	2;3;4	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
2	One time (HUD)	2;3;4	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
2	Two times (HUD);Three times (HUD)	2;3;4	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
3	Four or more times (HUD)	2;3;4	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
3	Missing	5;6;7;8	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
3	One time (HUD)	5;6;7;8	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
4	Four or more times (HUD)	5;6;7;8	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
4	Two times (HUD);Three times (HUD)	5;6;7;8	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
4	Missing	9;10;11	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
5	One time (HUD)	9;10;11	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
5	Two times (HUD);Three times (HUD)	9;10;11	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
6	Four or more times (HUD)	9;10;11	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
0	Missing	Missing	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
1	One time (HUD)	Missing	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
1	Two times (HUD);Three times (HUD)	Missing	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
2	Four or more times (HUD)	Missing	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
1	Missing	One month (this time is the first month) (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
1	One time (HUD)	One month (this time is the first month) (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
2	Four or more times (HUD)	One month (this time is the first month) (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"
2	Two times (HUD);Three times (HUD)	One month (this time is the first month) (HUD)	If yes, then 7, otherwise "Score"

## **APPENDIX F: CoC Compliance Review Tool**

Review Type:	Question:	Reference	Yes	No	Points Possible	Points Given:
Agency						
	Does the policy and procedure document define project eligibility criteria?	Page 6 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards				
	Does the recipient have written procedures outlining the process for documenting eligibility and record keeping requirements?	24 CFR 576.500 &			2	
	Does the recipient have written procedures that outlines their agency's housing search process?	Pages 33, 38, & 51 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards			1	
	Does the recipient have written procedures for the initial and re-inspection of units to ensure they meet HQS?	§ 578.75 (b, 1 &2)			1	
	Does the recipient have a policy and procedure regarding the practice and documentation of rent reasonableness?	§ 578.51 (g) & § 578.49 (b)(2)			2	
	Does the recipient have written procedures for lease and sublease requirements, including VAWA protections and Emergency Transfer Plans?	§ 578.99 & Page 11 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards			1	
	Does the recipient have written procedures which include an Equal Opportunity statement (Fair Housing, Non-Discrimination, and Reasonable Accommodations)?	§ 578.93 & Page 7 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards			2	
	Does the recipient have a written procedure detailing the process of maintaining confidentiality of client records?	HMIS Policies and Procedures (III. A. 1)			1	
	Does the recipient have a written policy and procedure outlining the use of Housing First practices	Page 9 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards			2	
	Does the recipient have written procedures that outlines the need for annual assessments?	§ 578.53 (2)			1	
	Does the recipient have written procedures for termination of assistance, complaints, and appeals?	§ 578.91			1	
	Does the recipient have written procedures for record re				1	
	Does the recipient have written policy regarding Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)?	Page 4 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards			1	
	Does the recipient have written policy and procedures regarding the CE process	§ 578.23 (9)			2	
	Does the recipient have written policy regarding voluntary support services?	Page 10 of Ohio BoSCoC Program Standards & § 578.53			2	
	Does the recipient have a written policy outlining the participation of homeless and/or formerly homeless on				1	
	th agency board of directors?	§ 578.75 (g)				

	For RRH (Page 27 of	
Does the recipient have a written policy outlining a	Ohio BoSCoC Program	
method for prioritizing assistance for those persons	Standards) & for PSH	2
with greater levels of need and/or greater barriers. If	(Page 20 of Ohio	2
PSH, do the P & P include the Chronic Homeless	BoSCoC Program	
Order of Prioritization	Standards)	
		25

### APPENDIX G: Housing First, PSH Prioritization Evaluation, & CoC Compliance List

#### Notes:

-Refer to the Evaluating Housing First and Evaluating Prioritization of CH in PSH Projects sections of this document for details.

-Projects that are required to submit documentation (as indicated by "YES") but fail to do so will have points deducted from their project evaluation score.

				less Prioritization projects)		sing First Projects)		ompliance rojects)	
			2023	2024	2023	2024	2023	2024	
Grantee Name	Project Name	Project Type	CH Prioritization Points Awarded in 2023 (10 msx)	CH Prioritization Documents Required for FY24 Project Evaluation	HF Points Awarded in 2023 (15 max)	HF Documents Required for FY24 Project Evaluation	CoC Compliance Points Awarded in 2023 (25 max)	CoC Compliance Documents Required for FY24 Project Evaluation	Comments
Alcohol, Drug Addiction & Mental Health Services Board of Tuscarawas and Carroll Counties	Tuscarawas County TRA	PH	1	YES	10	YES	17	YES	Must submit updated CH, HF, & Compliance Documents
Allen Metropolitan Housing Authority	Allen Shelter Plus Care Vouchers	PH	10	No	12.5	No	12.5	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Appleseed Community Mental Health Center, Inc.	Appleseed RRH	RRH	NA	NA	15	No	19.25	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Ashtabula County Mental Health and Recovery Services Board	Ashtabula Shelter Plus Care Vouchers for homeless persons with mental illness	PH	10	No	15	No	18.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Athens Serenity Village SAMI Shelter Plus Care	PH	7.5		13.5	No	15	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Athens Shelter Plus Care	PH	7.5	No	13.5	No	15	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Butler County, Ohio	Butler County PSH Expansion	РН	NA.	YES	NA	YES	NA	YES	*First-time renewal project in last year's competition- was not required to submit these documents. MUST SUBMIT DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019 PROJECT EVALUATION.
Butler County, Ohio	PSH Butler County	PH	10	No	15	No	20.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
CAP of Washington Morgan	Marietta/Washington Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	15	No	20	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
City of Springfield, Ohio	Springfield Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	15	No	18.25	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Coleman Professional Services	Coleman PSH	PH	10	No	15	No	20.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Coleman Professional Services	Jefferson County Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	15	No	22	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score

		1							
Columbiana County Mental Health Clinic dba The Counseling	Permanent Housing for	DII	10	NI-	45	N	20.5	NI-	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
Center	Persons with Disabilities	PH	10	No	15	No	20.5	No	effort to raise score  *Nothing required, but can
Columbiana Metropolitan Housing Authority	Columbiana Free Choice II - The Counseling Center	PH	10	No	15	No	20.5	No	submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Columbiana Metropolitan	Columbiana MHA Shelter Plus								*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
Housing Authority	Care 1	PH	10	No	15	No	20.5	No	effort to raise score
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP TH	TH	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not evaluating competitively during FY24 Competition
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP SSO	SSO	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not evaluating competitively during FY24 Competition
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP RRH	PH	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	Not evaluating competitively during FY24 Competition
Community Action Agency of Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 YHDP CE	SSO	NA NA	Not evaluating competition during FY24 Competition					
Columbiana County, Inc.	Region 6 THDP CE	550	NA NA						
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Fayette Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	15	No	23.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Community Action Commission of									*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
Fayette County	Stable Futures	PH	10	No	15	No	23.5	No	effort to raise score
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	Fayette Landing	PH	10	No	15	No	23.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
rayette County	rayette Landing	FII	10	140	13	140	23.3	INO	*Nothing required, but can
Community Action Commission of Fayette County	CAC Permanent Supportive Housing	PH	10	No	15	No	23.5	No	submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Community Action Commission of									*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
Fayette County	Empowerment Center	Joint TH-RRH	NA	NA	15	No	23.5	No	effort to raise score
Family & Community Services	Ravenna Permanent Supportive Housing for Veterans	PH	5	YES	14.5	No	17.5	No	Must submit updated CH Documents
Family & Community Services,									*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
Inc.	PATH RRH	RRH	NA	NA	14	No	17.5	No	effort to raise score
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Miami County Family RRH	PH	NA	NA	14	No	20.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
osanty, mo.	am obanty runny rutti		INA		1	140	20.3	140	*Nothing required, but can
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami County, Inc.	Miami County SPC	PH	10	No	14	No	20.5	No	submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Family Abuse Shelter of Miami									*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
County, Inc.	Family Abuse Shelter PSH	PH	10	No	14	No	22	No	effort to raise score
Family Violence Prevention									*First-time renewal project in last year's competition- was not required to submit these documents. MUST SUBMIT DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019
Center of Greene County, Inc.	Square One RRH	PH	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	YES	PROJECT EVALUATION.
Family Violence Prevention Center of Greene County, Inc.	Supportive Opportunity and Services	TH	NA	NA	12	No	15.5	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents

	Г								
Findlay Hope House for the Homeless, Inc	Able Housing	PH	10	No	13	No	21.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Geauga County Board of Mental Health & Recovery Services	SPC Geauga County TRA	PH	10	No	13.5	No	20	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Geauga County Board of Mental Health & Recovery Services	Permanent Supportive Housing	PH	10	No	13.5	No	20	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS PSH (CONSOLIDATED APP)	PH	10	No	14	No	23.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Homenet Permanent Supportive Housing Program - DV	PH	10	No	14	No	23.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Great Lakes Community Action Partnership	WSOS Rapid ReHousing Conversion	RRH	NA	NA	15	No	23.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
HM Housing Development Corp	Faith House II	PH	10	No	15	No	20.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Southeast Ohio YHDP RRH	PH	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	YES	Will be competitively evaluated during FY24 Competition
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	MacArthur Gardens	PH	NA NA	YES	NA NA	YES	NA	YES	*First-time renewal project in last year's competition- was not required to submit these documents. MUST SUBMIT DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019 PROJECT EVALUATION.
Integrated Services for Behavioral Health	Graham Drive/ Athens Family Housing	PH	9	No	15	No	20.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Springfield ( Sheltered Inc)	Permanent Housing with Supportive Services	PH	10	No	13	No	17.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Interfaith Hospitality Network of Springfield (dba SHeltered Inc)	Saint Vincent House (renamed to Supportive Housing Program)	PH	4	YES	13	No	17.5	No	Must submit updated CH Documents
Ironton Lawrence County Area CAO, Inc.	Lawrence County One-Stop TH	ТН	NA	NA	13	No	14.5	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Jefferson County Community Action Council	Supportive Housing Program	PH	10	No	11.5	No	15.25	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Kno-Ho-Co Ashland Community Action Commission	Knox County TRA	PH	10	No	10	YES	19	No	Must submit updated HF Documents
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board	Lake SPC Combined (CONSOLIDATED APP)	PH	10	No	14.5	No	22	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Lake County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Services Board	Lake County McKinley Grove	PH	10	No	14.5	No	22	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Lawrence County Port Authority	Lawrence One-Stop Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	13	No	14.5	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents

	1					1			
Licking County Coalition for	LCCH DV Hausing	loint TU DDU	NA	VEC	NA NA	VEC	No.	VEC	*First-time renewal project in last year's competition- was not required to submit these documents. MUST SUBMIT DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019
Housing	LCCH DV Housing	Joint TH-RRH	NA	YES	NA	YES	NA	YES	PROJECT EVALUATION.
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH PSH Licking County	РН	NA	YES	NA	YES	NA	YES	*First-time renewal project in last year's competition- was not required to submit these documents. MUST SUBMIT DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019 PROJECT EVALUATION.
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Rapid Re-Housing Ohio	PH	NA	NA	13	No	24	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Licking County Coalition for Housing	Region 9 RRH	PH	NA	NA	14	No	21.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH Rapid Re-Housing	PH	NA NA	NA	12.5	No	17	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Licking County Coalition for Housing	LCCH Transitional Housing	TH	NA	NA	7.5	YES	11.5	YES	Must submit updated HF and Compliance Documents
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Licking Shelter Plus Care	PH	7	YES	11	YES	13	YES	Must submit updated CH, HF, & Compliance Documents
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care Chronic	PH	7	YES	11	YES	13	YES	Must submit updated CH, HF, & Compliance Documents
Licking Metropolitan Housing Authority	Shelter Plus Care Vouchers 2	PH	7	YES	11	YES	13	YES	Must submit updated CH, HF, & Compliance Documents
Lorain County Board of Mental Health	Lorain Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	12	No	24	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Lutheran Social Services of Central Ohio	Fairfield County Shelter Plus Care	РН	10	No	13.5	No	23.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Medina County Alcohol, Drug Addiction and Mental Health Board	Northland II	PH	10	No	13.5	No	14	YES	Must submit updated Compliance documents
Medina Metropolitan Housing Authority	Medina County TRA	PH	10	No	13.5	No	17.5	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Ashland County	Beginning Anew	PH	10	No	15	No	19	No	*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in effort to raise score
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	Shelter Plus Care Union County	PH	6	YES	12	No	17	YES	Must submit updated CH and Compliance Documents
Mental Health & Recovery Board of Union County	I'm Home	PH	6	YES	12	No	17	YES	Must submit updated CH and Compliance Documents
Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Madriver/Park Street	PH	6	YES	12	No	17	YES	Must submit updated CH and Compliance Documents
Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Logan/Champaign Housing	PH	6	YES	12	No	17	YES	Must submit updated CH and Compliance Documents
Mental Health, Drug and Alcohol Services Board (Logan & Champaign)	Family Housing	PH	6	YES	12	No	17	YES	Must submit updated CH and Compliance Documents

						1			
	Warren County Permanent								Must submit updated HF
New Housing Ohio, Inc.	Supportive Housing	PH	10	No	10	YES	23	No	Documents
									Must submit updated CH
New Sunrise Properties, Inc.	Supportive Housing	PH	5	YES	14	No	21.5	No	Documents
									Must submit updated CH and
Northwest Ohio CAC	NOCAC PSH	PH	5	YES	11	YES	18.5	No	HF Documents *First-time renewal project in
									last year's competition- was
									not required to submit these
	ODVN RRH Project								documents. MUST SUBMIT
									DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019
ODVN			NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	YES	PROJECT EVALUATION.
									*First-time renewal project in
									last year's competition- was
									not required to submit these documents. MUST SUBMIT
	DV Bonus RRH Project for Ohio								DOCUMENTS FOR FY2019
ODVN	BoSCoC (REACH 2.0)	RRH	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	YES	PROJECT EVALUATION.
OBVIN	BOSCOC (REACITE.O)	IMMI	INA	IVA	INA	120	IVA	120	*Nothing required, but can
									submit updated documents in
OneEighty, Inc	PSH Plus Care	PH	10	No	14	No	19	No	effort to raise score
Portage Metropolitan Housing	1 CITT Ido Gale		10	140	1-7	140	10	110	submit updated documents in
Authority	Portage Shelter Plus Care	PH	10	No	12.5	No	18.5	No	effort to raise score
Preble County Mental Health and	1 ortage erroller i lae eare				12.0		10.0		Must submit updated CH and
Recovery Board	Prestwick Square	PH	0	YES	14	No	14.5	YES	Compliance Documents
Project Woman of Springfield and	r rootmon equate						11.0		Must submit updated HF and
Clark County	Reign of Renewal	PH	NA	NA	9	YES	15.5	YES	Compliance Documents
									Must submit updated CH and
Residential Administrators, Inc.	Residential Administrators PSH	PH	6	YES	12	No	17	YES	Compliance Documents
·									·
	Sojourners Crisis Transitional								Will be competitvely evaluated
Sojourners Care Network	Housing	TH	NA	NA	NA	YES	NA	YES	during FY24 Competition
	Sojourners Youth Crisis								Not evaluating SSO projects
Sojourners Care Network	Response Program	SSO	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	during FY24 Competition
	Generation Now Permanent								Must submit updated
Sojourners Care Network	Supportive Housing	PH	10	No	14	No	15.5	YES	Compliance documents
The Salvation Army, a New York	Delaware County Permanent								Must submit updated CH and
Corporation	Supportive Housing for Families	PH	5	YES	14	No	17	YES	Compliance Documents
Trumbull County Mental Health									Must submit updated
and Recovery Board	Joey's Landing	PH	10	No	14	No	16.75	YES	Compliance documents
Trumbull County Mental Health	Trumbull Shelter Plus Care								Must submit updated
and Recovery Board	Vouchers (CONSOLIDATED	PH	10	No	12.25	No	14.25	YES	Compliance documents
Volunteers of America of Greater									Must submit updated
Ohio, Inc.	Almost Home	RRH	NA	NA	15	No	15	YES	Compliance documents
Volunteers of America of Greater									Must submit updated
Ohio, Inc.	Program	TH	NA	NA	13	No	15.25	YES	Compliance documents
Warren Metropolitan Housing	- 3								Must submit updated HF and
Authority	Bridges	RRH	NA	NA	11	YES	11.5	YES	Compliance Documents
Warren Metropolitan Housing									Must submit updated CH
Authority	Warren Shelter Plus Care	PH	7	YES	11.5	No	19.5	No	Documents
ramony	Trainer energy ride care				11.0		10.0		
									*Nothing required, but can submit updated documents in
YWCA of Elyria	Women In Secure Housing	PH	10	No	12	No	19	No	effort to raise score
I WOA OI LIYIIA	Women in Occure Housing		10	INO	12	INO	19	INO	*Nothing required, but can
									submit updated documents in
YWCA of Elyria	Women's Campus Project	TH	NA	NA	12	No	19	No	effort to raise score
I WOA OI LIYIIA	Women's Campus i Toject	111	IVA	ING	12	140	19	140	
									*Nothing required, but can
,,,,,,, ,,, ,,, ,,, ,,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,		56							submit updated documents in
YWCA of Hamilton Ohio Inc.	Goodman Place Expansion	PSH	10	No	12	No	19	No	effort to raise score

Review Type:		Question	7	Yes ▼	ı	lo ▼	Points Availabl	Points Given	Comments
Transitional Housing Only:									
		Is there documentation to show that participant							
		has been screened via centralized entry (CE)?					2		
		Does the file properly document the client entered	d						
	ŀ	TH directly from a literally homeless situation?					2		
		Does the file include complete homeless history							
		documentation?					3		
		Do the program participants have a signed lease,							
		sublease, or occupancy agreement for an initial							
		term of at least one month that is automatically							
		renewable (except with prior notice)?					2		
		Is there evidence showing case manager is							
		meeting with the participant and that supportive							
		services are being offered?					2		
		Is there a completed HQS inspection?					2		
		Client files for those receiving leasing assistance							
		indicate that unit does not exceed HUD-							
		determined FMR. Clients files for those receiving							
		rental assistance indicate that unit meets rent							
		reasonablness standard.					2		
							15		

Review Type:	Question	Yes	No	▼ Points Availabl	Points Given	Comments
Permanent Supportive						
Housing Only:						
	Is there documentation to show that participant					
	has been screened via centralized entry (CE)?			1		
	Does the file properly document the client entered					
	PSH directly from a literally homeless situation?			1		
	Does file include proper disability documentation?			3		
	Does the file include complete homeless					
	history/verification of chronic homeless status?			3		
	Does the file show prioritization of chronically					
	homeless? If not chronic homeless, is there					
	evidence that this person reasonablly follows the					
	order of priority?			3		
	Do the program participants have a signed lease					
	agreement that does not include provisions that					
	would not be found in standard lease agreement					
	and is for at least 12 months?			1		
	Is there evidence that supportive services are					
	being offered?			1		
	Is there a completed HQS inspection?			1		
	Client files for those receiving leasing assistance					
	indicate that unit does not exceed HUD-					
	determined FMR. Clients files for those receiving					
	rental assistance indicate that unit meets rent					
	reasonablness standard.			1		
				15		

Review Type:	Question	Yes	~	No	•	Points Availabl	Points Given	Comments
Rapid Rehousing Housing Only:								
	Is there documentation to show that participant							
	has been screened via centralized entry (CE)?					2		
	Does the file properly document the client entered	1						
	RRH directly from a literally homeless situation?					2		
	Is there prioritization documentation showing why	t						
	HH/individual was prioritized for assistance?	$\perp$				2		
	Does the file include complete homeless history							
	documentation?	_				3		
	Do the program participants have a signed lease							
	agreement of at least 1 year that is renewable							
	month to month and only terminable for cause?	+		-		2		
	Is there evidence showing case manager is							
	meeting with the participant monthly?	+		-		1		
	Is there a completed HQS inspection?	+		-		1		
	Client files for those receiving leasing assistance							
	indicate that unit does not exceed HUD-							
	determined FMR. Clients files for those receiving							
	rental assistance indicate that unit meets rent					_		
	reasonablness standard.	+				2		
				-		15		

Review Type:	Question	▼	Yes 🔻	No	~	Points Available	Points Given	Comments
Joint TH-RRH Only:								
	Is there documentation to show that participant							
	has been screened via centralized entry (CE)?					2		
	Does the file properly document the client entered	t						
	TH-RRH directly from a literally homeless situation	۱						
	or Category 4?					2		
	Is there prioritization documentation showing why	У						
	HH/individual was prioritized for assistance?					2		
	Does the file include complete homeless history							
	documentation?					3		
	Do the program participants have a signed lease							
	agreement of at least 1 year that is renewable							
	month to month and only terminable for cause?					2		
	Is there evidence showing case manager is meeting	3						
	with the participant monthly?					1		
	Is there a completed HQS inspection?					1		
	Client files for those receiving leasing assistance							
	indicate that unit does not exceed HUD- determine	ed						
	FMR. Clients files for those receiving rental							
	assistance indicate that unit meets rent							
	reasonablness standard.					2		
						15		