**Special CoC Board Meeting**

**Ohio BoSCoC**

**Meeting Information**

**Date:** Monday, October 11, 2021

**Time:** 3:00pm

**Location:** GoToMeeting

**Attending:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Region 1** | absent | **Region 7** | absent | **Region 13** | Barb Holman |
| **Region 2** | Susan Wren | **Region 8** | Dawn Rauch | **Region 14** | absent |
| **Region 3** | absent | **Region 9** | Deb Tegtmeyer | **Region 15** | absent |
| **Region 4** | Krista Kidney | **Region 10** | absent | **Region 16** | absent |
| **Region 5** | Tammy Weaver | **Region 11** | absent | **Region 17** | absent |
| **Region 6** | Marti Grimm | **Region 12** | absent |  |  |
| **At – Large VA** | absent | **At-Large** (Mindy Wright) | Mindy Wright | **At-Large CSH** | Katie Kitchin |
| **At – Large** (Steve Sturgill) | absent | **At-Large OHFA** | Sam Makoski | **At – Large ODJFS** | absent |
| **At-Large Mental Health** | absent | **At-Large PSH** | Fred Berry | **At-Large**(Rachael Cremeans) | Rachael Cremeans |
| **At-Large** (Randall Hunt) | Randall Hunt | **At-Large****ODVN** | Emily Kulow |  |  |
| **ODSA**  | Ashley Reynolds |  |  |
| **COHHIO/HMIS** | Hannah Basting, Amanda Wilson |
| **Others in attendance (non-voting)** | Erica Mulryan |

**Meeting Agenda/Notes**

1. **FY2021 CoC Competition**
	1. DV Bonus Funding Decisions
		1. Review of Steering Cte recommendations for DV Bonus projects
			1. One proposal received from ODVN
				1. Steering Committee recommendation is to include the ODVN DV Bonus project in the CoC project listing, with some minor changes to some narrative responses
			2. KK – thinking about staffing/services proposal and how services would be provided to smaller number of clients and in this geographically dispersed model. Having a large number of partners, each with a smaller number of referrals/clients can be challenging to administer
			3. Randall moved to approve the project for inclusion in the Ohio BoSCoC FY21 CoC Project listing, pending revisions to some narratives as recommended by Steering Committee. Susan seconded.
				1. Motion passed unanimously. Barb Holman and Emily Kulow abstained.
2. **Policy Revisions/Additions**
	1. Homeless Program Standards
		1. Revisions included adding a paragraph that clarified that all homeless assistance projects must establish their own agency/project level policies and procedures, and that the CoC’s written standards are not sufficient on their own. Revisions also involved a new policy that requires that all homeless projects serving families with children or unaccompanied youth under 18 must designate staff to ensure compliance with educational assurances, must engage in coordinated and planning with local educational agencies, and must develop formal agreements with local educational agencies to ensure compliance on both ends.
		2. Amanda moved to approve the revised program standards. Fred seconded.
			1. Motion passed unanimously.
3. **2022 PIT Count Methodology**
	1. Consideration of Proposed Methodology
		1. CoC staff shared the draft proposed PIT Count methodology the previous week, reviewed key points
			1. Deb asked how up to the minute the identification of known locations can be. Some local encampments are being forcefully disbanded with sometimes only days notice. Unsheltered locations can move a lot. Also wants to confirm that known locations identified in one year can be changed the next year
				1. CoC team confirmed that for 2022 the known locations for the unsheltered count will be newly identified. No locations identified for 2020 count will be used to help determine high probability areas unless they continue to be active with unsheltered persons.
				2. In terms of the timeline to identify known locations, the CoC team will have to discuss this more as part of the overall planning process. We need to identify these locations with sufficient time to identify our high and low probability areas for the geo-based sampling approach
			2. Randall asked if we could include service-based counts in the unsheltered count. CoC team is having convos about this now with HIC/PIT contacts and Simtech. CoC team is very open to it but there are some considerations:
				1. If not all communities use a service-based count, does that skew the data somehow? Is it still accurate and reliable?
				2. Survey responses would need to very precise re: locations
				3. Krista and Deb emphasized how important it is to get local data back ASAP after the count. Coc team will ask Simtech about any additional reporting options to help with this.
		2. Fred moved to approve the PIT Count Methodology as recommended. Krista seconded.
			1. Motion passed unanimously.
4. **Additional Meeting Dates for CoC Competition**
	1. November 8, 2021

**Next Meeting**

**Date: Monday, November 8, 2021**

**Location:** GoToMeeting and conference call