

CoC Board Meeting Ohio BoSCoC

Meeting Information

Date: Wednesday, September 11, 2019

Time: 10:00am

Location: Webinar and conference call

Attendees:

Region 1	Angie Franklin	Region 7	absent	Region 13	Barb Holman
Region 2	absent	Region 8	absent	Region 14	absent
Region 3	Cindy Anderson	Region 9	Deb Tegtmeyer	Region 15	absent
Region 4	Debbie Kubena-Yatsko	Region 10	Katherine Weathers	Region 16	Christina Blair (proxy)
Region 5	Tammy Weaver	Region 11	Sue Lehman (new)	Region 17	absent
Region 6	Marti Grimm	Region 12	Kim Bruns		
At – Large VA	absent	At-Large Youth Provider	Fallon Kingery	At-Large CSH	absent
At – Large (Steve Sturgill)	absent	At-Large OHFA	absent	At – Large ODJFS	Laurie Valentine
At-Large Mental Health	absent	At-Large PSH	absent		
At-Large (Randally Hunt)	Randall Hunt	At-Large/MH Board	Kelly Camlin	At-Large YAB	absent
ODSA	Scott Gary				
COHHIO/HMIS	Amanda Wilson				
Others in attendance (non-voting)	Hannah Basting, Erica Mulryan, COHHIO				

Meeting Agenda/Notes

1. CoC Board Membership Updates

- a. Erica identified new CoC Board members, as follows:
 - i. Region 11 – Sue Lehman, Findlay Hope House replaced Chuck Bulick who resigned from Board

2. FY2019 CoC Competition – CoC Project Ranking

- a. See meeting materials for details
 - i. Background and funding information
- b. Consider Steering Committee project ranking recommendations
 - i. Erica reminded the Board that before anyone asks questions, proposes suggestions/recommendations, or raises any other issues related to the recommendations that they first disclose if they have any real or perceived conflicts
 - ii. Erica reviewed, in detail, the Steering Committee’s recommendations for ranking of the following project types. See the *FY19 CoC Comp Ranking Background* document for written details
 - 1. First-time Renewals/Projects without enough operational time to evaluate
 - 2. HMIS Renewal Project
 - 3. Tier 2 Renewal Projects
 - 4. New project applications
 - 5. DV Bonus applications

- iii. Erica clarified that the Steering Committee is recommending no additional ranking changes to Tier 1 renewal projects
- iv. Upon review of recommendations, Debbie Kubena-Yatsko moved to approve the Steering Committee project ranking recommendations in their entirety
- v. Amanda Wilson seconded the motion
- vi. No discussion or questions
- vii. The motion passed unanimously with the following abstentions:
 1. Angie Franklin, Cindy Anderson, Debbie Kubena-Yatsko, Tammy Weaver, Deb Tegtmeier, Krista Edwards, Sue Lehman, Barb Holman, Christina Blair, Fallon Kingery, Amanda Wilson

3. FY2019 CoC Competition – Draft CoC Application

- a. Review Key areas of draft application
 - i. Erica shared that the following CoC App questions still need help in developing responses; these are new questions. Erica will share details in a follow up email as well.
 1. Updates on local PHA Homeless Preferences and/or Moving On Strategies?
 2. Written agreements with local Workforce Development Boards?
 3. Written agreements with local education/training organizations?
 4. Working with local private employers or emp organizations?
 - a. Hosting job fairs, etc?
 5. Creating incentives to encourage employment?
 6. Working with organizations to create meaningful employment opportunities for PSH residents?
 - b. Erica will follow up with CoC Board members to seek updated match commitment letters for the FY19 CoC Planning Application

4. YHDP

Next Meeting

Date: Monday, September 23, 2019 at 3pm
Location: Webinar and Conference Call

Ohio BoSCoC FY19 CoC Competition Background Information for CoC Project Ranking Discussion September 11, 2019

1) HUD Project Selection Information (from NOFA)

- a) HUD – Selects projects in Tier 2 using 100-point scale
 - i) CoC Score – up to 50 pts in proportion to score on CoC Application
 - ii) CoC Project Ranking – up to 40 pts for the CoC’s ranking of the project
 - iii) Low Barriers to Entry – up to 10 pts for how the project app demonstrates that it is low barrier
- b) HUD – Selects DV Bonus projects using 100-point scale
 - i) CoC Score – up to 25 pts in direct proportion to score on CoC Application
 - ii) Need for project – up to 25 pts based on extent the CoC quantifies need for the project, extent of need, and how project fills gaps
 - iii) Quality of Project Application – up to 50 pts based on the previous performance of the applicant in serving DV survivors and ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes, and use TIC and victim-centered approaches

2) Ohio BoSCoC Project Ranking Goal and Priorities (from CoC Competition Plan and Timeline)

- a) Project Ranking Goal: To rank Ohio BoSCoC new and renewal projects in a way that helps us continue to meet local homeless needs, while also helping the CoC maximize CoC Program funds and ensure ongoing national competitiveness
- b) Priorities:
 - i) The CoC may seek to preserve low-ranking projects at risk of losing funding where those projects represent the only CoC Program funding in their communities
 - ii) The CoC may seek to preserve low-ranking Permanent Housing (PH) projects at risk of losing funding where those projects represent the only CoC Program funded PH in their communities
 - iii) The CoC may prioritize projects that have demonstrated the use of Housing First practices
 - iv) The CoC may consider reducing funding requests for the lowest ranked projects as a means to preserve funding for higher ranked projects, if needed, and keeping in line with other priorities
 - v) The CoC may consider ranking new projects higher than some renewal projects, where the CoC believes doing so will better help the CoC meet the ranking goal outlined above

3) Ohio BoSCoC Historical Funding and Competitiveness

- a) FY18, CoC awarded about \$450,000 in funding above the estimated ARD
 - i) In FY18, FMR increases were about \$87,508 total
 - ii) CoC App scored 174/200
- b) FY17, CoC awarded \$32,194 more in funding than requested, AND still lost bottom ranked Tier 2 project
 - i) In FY17, FMR increases were \$423,000 (the lost renewal project was \$391,692)
 - ii) CoC App scored 144/200
- c) FY16, CoC FMR increases were \$5,773
 - i) CoC App scored 175/200

4) Ohio BoSCoC Regional Need and Resources

- a) Homeless Program Inventory by County (HIC) can be found at: <https://cohio.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/HIC-OH507-2018.xlsx>
 - i) Steering Committee considers available resources in a county/region when considering re-ranking Tier 2 renewals and new projects
- b) Ohio BoSCoC System Needs Analysis can be found at: [Ohio BoSCoC: System Needs Analysis, updated 2019](#)
 - i) Steering Committee considers regional need when considering re-ranking Tier 2 renewals and new projects
- c) Preliminary Pro-Rata Need (PPRN) Compared to CoC Awards by Region
 - i) Attached to email. This is first time we have done this analysis

- ii) This analysis compares the PPRN amounts that would be formula allocated to each Ohio BoSCoC Homeless Planning Region based on HUD formula, compared to current CoC Program awards
 - (1) HUD calculates PPRN using a combination of:
 - (a) ESG formula
 - (b) CDBG formula
 - (i) Formula A
 - 1. 25% population
 - 2. 50% poverty
 - 3. 25% overcrowding
 - (ii) Formula B
 - 1. 20% population growth lag
 - a. the *lag* between a change in the environment and the corresponding change in the rate of *growth* of a *population*
 - 2. 30% poverty
 - 3. 50% pre-1940 housing

5) Funding Availability (FY19)

- a) Estimated Annual Renewal Demand = \$20,190,131
 - i) Estimated Tier 1 Amount = \$19,207,966
 - ii) Estimated Tier 2 Amount = \$982,165
 - iii) DV Bonus Funds = \$1,693,228
 - iv) CoC Bonus Funds = \$1,009,257
 - v) CoC Planning = \$605,704 (this is neither ranked nor competitive)
- b) Potential FMR Increases
 - i) CoC rough analysis estimates that FMR increases may be up to \$57,000 (we believe this estimate is high)

6) Project ranking

- i) The Steering Committee needs to consider ranking options for the following projects:
 - (1) First-time Renewals/Projects without enough operational time to evaluate
 - (2) HMIS Renewal Project
 - (3) Tier 2 Renewal Projects
 - (4) New project applications
 - (5) DV Bonus applications

7) Steering Committee Recommendations for Project Ranking

- a) Steering Committee recommended the following rank order for the First-time renewals/projects newly operating, HMIS renewal, Tier 2 renewal projects, New CoC Bonus projects, and DV Bonus project
 - i) First Time Renewals/Newly Operational
 - (1) Cte recommends ranking these project in alphabetical order by applicant name at the top of Tier 1 (top 5 positions)
 - (a) See *Final Project Ranking – Steering Committee Recommendations*
 - ii) HMIS Renewal
 - (1) Cte recommends ranking HMIS renewal ranked near the bottom of Tier 1 in position #80
 - (a) See *Final Project Ranking – Steering Committee Recommendations*
 - iii) Tier 2 Renewal Projects
 - (1) Cte recommended the following changes to Tier 2 renewal project ranking. Details of recommendations and rationale are as follows. See *Final Project Ranking – Steering Committee Recommendations for the recommendations in the listing*:
 - (a) Sojourners Care Network – Generation Now PSH (Region 17, Vinton Co.)
 - (i) Move up in ranking to position #83
 - 1. This is the only youth-dedicated PSH in the CoC
 - 2. Project is not yet being evaluated on youth-specific measures/outcomes, but the CoC hopes to do that in the coming year or two (establishing baseline now)
 - (b) Lutheran Social Services – Shelter Plus Care for Singles (Region 9, Fairfield Co)
 - (i) Move up in ranking to position #84
 - 1. Fairfield Co lost its other CoC funded PSH project 2 years ago; this is now it's only CoC funded PSH

2. Limited PSH in area other than this, but needs continues to be great according to CoC Needs Analysis
3. Loss of points (10) b/c of failure to submit required program docs, not performance
 - a. CoC staff will work with them on this
- (c) Preble MHRB – Prestwick Square II (Region 13, Preble Co)
 - (i) Move down in ranking to position #85
 1. Project rank moved down b/c of effort to preserve the above listed projects
 2. Preble county also has another, larger CoC funded PSH project in Tier 1
 3. Less need in Region 13 according to CoC Needs Analysis
- (d) The Center for Individual and Family Services – Next Step PSH (Region 2, Richland Co)
 - (i) Move up in ranking to position #86
 1. Project is only PSH project in Richland County, even accounting for all funding sources
- (e) Miami Valley CAP – Harding Place TH (Region 15, Greene Co)
 - (i) Move down in ranking to position #89
 1. There are other ES and TH resources available in Greene Co now, including newly funded ES, and Cte wanted to preserve PSH where possible
 2. The potential funding loss is small compared to other projects, although we do not know what % of total program budget CoC funds account for
- (f) Coleman – Beacon House Safe Haven (Region 6, Jefferson Co)
 - (i) Move down in ranking to position #90
 1. Project rank moved down to potentially preserve other PH where possible; Safe Havens are a type of low-barrier shelter
 2. Project currently serves 10 people per year, at cost of \$29,226 annually (not including ODSA funds)
 3. ODSA also funds project at about \$83,000 annually
 4. Cte hopes that a potential partial loss of funding would not disable project, given the high per client funding currently
- (g) Family Violence Prevention Center - TH (Region 15, Greene Co)
 - (i) Project rank not changed
 - (ii) About \$14,000 of the project funding falls into Tier 2
- iv) New CoC Bonus Projects
 - (1) Cte recommends ranking new CoC Bonus projects in the priority order previously approved by the CoC Board, and in the following positions in Tier 2
 - (a) YWCA Hamilton PSH (Region 14, Butler Co) – Tier 2, position #87
 - (b) Findlay Hope House PSH (Region 11, Hancock Co) – Tier 2, position #88
 - (i) Cte recommends ranking these two new CoC Bonus projects above two renewals b/c of the prioritization of PSH over TH and safe haven, and b/c Region 14 and Region 11 both have significant need for these additional PSH units, based on CoC Needs Analysis
 - (c) Washington Morgan CAP RRH (Region 8) – Tier 2, position #91
- v) New DV Bonus Projects
 - (1) Cte recommends ranking DV Bonus projects in the very bottom of Tier 2 in the following order:
 - (a) Fayette CAC Empowerment Center (Region 16) – Tier 2, position #92
 - (b) ODVN RRH (CoC-wide) – Tier 2, position #93
 - (i) This recommendation is b/c HUD does not consider project rank order in selecting DV Bonus projects for funding (see above)