**OHIO BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE**

**CoC Board Meeting**

**MEETING INFORMATION**

**Date:** Monday, September 10, 2018

**Time:** 10:00am

**Location:** Webinar and conference call

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Region 1** | Angie Franklin | **Region 7** | absent | **Region 13** | Barb Holman |
| **Region 2** | Ragan Claypool | **Region 8** | Dawn Rauch | **Region 14** | absent |
| **Region 3** | Cindy Anderson | **Region 9** | Deb Tegtmeyer | **Region 15** | Elaina Bradley |
| **Region 4** | Debbie Kubena-Yatsko | **Region 10** | Katherine Weathers | **Region 16** | Bambi Baughn |
| **Region 5** | Tammy Weaver | **Region 11** | Chuck Bulick | **Region 17** | Heather Hall |
| **Region 6** | absent | **Region 12** | Marva Cowan |  |  |
| **At – Large VA** | absent | **At-Large Youth Provider** | Fallon Kingery | **At-Large CSH** | Katie Kitchin |
| **At – Large DV** | Sarah Masek | **At-Large OHFA** | absent | **At – Large OCCH** | Beth Long |
| **At-Large Mental Health** | Doug Bailey | **At-Large Housing Authority/PSH** | Nathan Blatchley | **At-Large PSH** | Fred Berry |
| **At-Large** | C.J. Roberts | **At-Large/MH Board** | Holly Cundiff |  |  |
| **ODSA**  | Scott Gary |  |  |
| **COHHIO** | absent |
| **Others in attendance (non-voting)** | Hannah Basting/COHHIO; Tracey Ballas/ODSA |

**MEETING AGENDA**

1. FY2018 CoC Competition
	1. CoC Project Ranking
		1. Consider for Approval
			1. Hannah, CoC staff, shared with the group the recommendations for final renewal and new project ranking/listing, as prepared by the CoC’s Project Evaluation and Ranking Workgroup
			2. Hannah further shared the rationale identified by the workgroup for each of its recommendations, and CoC Board members previously received workgroup meeting notes in preparation for the CoC Board meeting
				1. Of particular concern, Hannah highlighted that the workgroup recommended ranking the new DV Bonus at the very bottom of Tier 2 ranked projects. This recommendation was b/c HUD’s selection process for DV Bonus projects (as outlined in the NOFA) included nothing related to the CoC’s ranked position of the project. Essentially, this means CoC’s can rank the DV Bonus project anywhere and that ranked position will not negatively impact the likelihood of HUD selecting the project (this is different from how HUD selects Tier 2 projects)
			3. Dawn – brought up a concern about ranking the 7 first time renewals at the top. She also inquired about where the majority of CoC funds are being distributed and whether or not it’s fair (i.e are certain areas and regions getting more CoC funding than others). Fred – indicated that the methodology in ranking new projects this way is consistent with other communities and Katie agreed with Fred.
				1. Katie– made a motion to approve recommended CoC Project Ranking/Listing
				2. Doug – seconded motion
				3. Ragan, Bambi, Heather, Dawn, Fred, CJ, Angie, Cindy, Debbie, Tammy, Deb, Katherine, Nathan, Holly, Babr, Elaina – abstained
				4. The motion was unanimously approved by the remainder of board members
	2. CoC Application
		1. Consider for Approval
			1. Hannah noted that CoC staff are still working on a small number of questions in the application and highlighted some of the system performance data. No one had any comments or questions about the CoC Application.
				1. Fred – made a motion

Hannah reiterated that we’re moving forward to approve with the understanding that final edits will be made to DV section

* + - * 1. Heather – Seconded motion
				2. Ragan - abstained
				3. Unanimously approved by the remainder of board members
	1. Emergency Transfer Plan
		1. Consider for Approval
			1. Hannah briefly went over Plan and noted that Joe Maskovyak, fair housing attorney from COHHIO’s office, recommended cross county collaboration edit, and that Erica is still working to add that piece.
				1. Chuck – made a motion
				2. Marva – seconded motion
				3. No one abstained
				4. Unanimously passed by board members
	2. Racial Disparities Analysis
		1. Review and Discussion
			1. Hannah highlighted the charts/graphs indicating that there are some racial disparities, but not more than 9% in any of the analyzed outcomes. It was mentioned that no vote necessary for this information, but CoC staff wanted to let the board know that this type of analysis was done and recommendations were made. One board member asked if this was the first time this question had been included in the NOFA. Hannah indicated this was the first time but it’s something CoC staff has wanted to do. Fred indicated it was good that we are analyzing and looking into disparities.
1. Update on FY17 CoC Application Score Appeal
	1. Hannah informed CoC Board that the Appeal was not granted. Someone asked if the appeal wasn’t granted because we didn’t back it up with enough detail/data. Scott spoke up and said that HUD has the right to change review process from year to year and we hope they make changes in the future.
2. State Consolidated Plan Advisory Groups/meetings
	1. Items to share with ODSA
		1. Katie stated that she talked to Douglas and Bill already about advocating for BoS smaller projects and HDAP allocation. Hannah reminded all board members that if they think of any items to share to email Erica or Hannah in the next few days.

**NEXT MEETING**

**Date: TBD**

**Location:** Webinar and Conference Call