**OHIO BALANCE OF STATE CONTINUUM OF CARE**

**CoC Board Meeting**

**MEETING INFORMATION**

**Date:** Monday, August 21, 2017

**Time:** 10:00am

**Location:** Webinar and conference call

**Attendees:**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Region 1** | absent | **Region 7** | absent | **Region 13** | absent |
| **Region 2** | Ragan Claypool | **Region 8** | Dawn Rauch | **Region 14** | absent |
| **Region 3** | Cindy Anderson | **Region 9** | Deb Tegtmeyer | **Region 15** | Elaina Bradley |
| **Region 4** | Debbie Kubena-Yatsko | **Region 10** | (seat is vacant) | **Region 16** | Bambi Baughn |
| **Region 5** | Tammy Weaver | **Region 11** | absent | **Region 17** | Heather Thabet |
| **Region 6** | Marti Grimm | **Region 12** | absent |  |  |
| **At – Large VA** | Jim Kennelly | **At-Large Youth Provider** | Fallon Kingery | **At-Large CSH** | Katie Kitchin |
| **At – Large DV** | Sarah Masek | **At-Large OHFA** | absent | **At – Large OCCH** | absent |
| **At-Large Mental Health** | Doug Bailey | **At-Large Housing Authority/PSH** | Nathan Blatchley | **At-Large PSH** | Fred Berry |
| **ODSA** | Scott Gary | | |  |  |
| **COHHIO** | Amanda Wilson | | | | |
| **Others in attendance (non-voting)** | Erica Mulryan/COHHIO, Barbara Miller/COHHIO, Chloe Greene/COHHIO, Maura Klein/ODSA, Sandy Sechang/COHHIO | | | | |

**MEETING NOTES**

**The purpose of this meeting was to discuss and make decisions on the Ohio BoSCoC FY2017 CoC Competition project ranking and funding.**

**Funding Availability, HUD Approach to Funding, Project Ranking Recommendations**

* Erica presented the *FY17 CoC Competition: CoC Project Ranking Recommendations* document:
* Funding availability:
* Estimated ARD as published by HUD = $15,832,855
* Tier 1 = $14,882,884
* Tier 2= $949,971 + PH Bonus = $952,185= $1,902,156 total
* HUD will award a point value to each new and renewal project application using a 100 point scale:
* 50 points associated with CoC Application Score
* 40 points based on CoC’s ranking of the project
* 10 points for being Housing First (regardless of project type)
* The Project Evaluation Committee made the following project ranking decisions and are recommending them to the Board:
  + 5 first time renewals that haven’t begun operation (WSOS/PSH Expansion, ADAMH Board of Tuscarawas/Recovery Begins at Home, Integrated Community Enterprises/Charles Place, VOA of Greater Ohio/Almost Home Region 3, Licking County Coalition for Housing/LCCH Rapid Re-Housing):
    - Committee recommendation: rank at the top of Tier 1 (in alphabetical order)
  + 2 conversion/reallocation projects (WSOS and CAC of Fayette County):
    - Committee recommendation: rank at the bottom of Tier 1 (in alphabetical order)
  + 2 consolidated PSH grants (Portage MHA and Warren MHA):
    - Committee recommendation: rank each combined grant at a position that reflects a ranking exactly in between the higher and lower ranking projects prior to consolidating:
      * Portage MHA= #11
      * Warren MHA= #69
  + 1 HMIS renewal project
    - Committee recommendation: rank at the bottom of Tier 1 (below conversions)
  + 1 new PH Bonus project (Ohio BoSCoC Rapid Re-Housing)
    - Committee recommendation: rank at the bottom of Tier 2
* Erica requested that the Board approve the Ohio BoSCoC FY2017 CoC Competition project ranking as recommended by the Project Evaluation Committee and noted above:
  + Heather Thabet made a motion to approve the project ranking; Marti Grimm seconded the motion.
  + Vote: 4 for, 0 opposed, 13 abstained

**Other Considerations**

Earlier this year the CoC gave their support to a LIHTC project in Butler County with the understanding that they would apply for rental assistance through the CoC competition process. Erica spoke with the project developer last week and determined that they will not need to apply for funding in this year’s competition.

**Reallocation Recommendations**

* Erica asked the Board to consider reallocating funding from projects that have a long history of unspent funds in excess of $10,000 or 10% of their award amount. Evaluation on this was supposed to have been part of the project evaluation process in May, but HUD was not able to provide the information at that time.
* Nathan Blatchley recommended being more aggressive than 10% in identifying projects for unspent funds.
* Deb Tegtmeyer stated that she is in favor of re-capturing and re-allocating unspent funds. She also recommends that the CoC take this one step further and find the underlying reasons that these projects continue to return money.
* The Board agreed that CoC staff should obtain an updated eLOCCS expenditures report from HUD in order to identify projects with long histories of unspent funds and then contact the grantees to discuss reallocation of a portion of their funding. The results of this effort will be presented to the Steering Committee, which will in turn make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will make the final decision on any funding reallocation.

**NEXT MEETING**

**Date:** September 18, 2017

**Time:** 10:00am

**Location:** Webinar/conference call